Grand Jury Report Transmittal (with Instructions and Response Form for Required Respondents)

Mark Puthuff, Chief of Police 250 Cypress Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Date: June 4, 2010

RE: Report Titled: Emergency Communication - Consolidation is Imperative

Dated: June 3, 2010

Your response to the attached report by the 2009/2010 Mendocino County Civil Grand Jury is required pursuant to Penal Code §933.05 (enclosed). Penal Code §933.05 also requires that your response to the Findings and Recommendations contained in the report be in writing and be submitted within 60 days for individual responses from elected county officers or agency head or within 90 days for governing bodies (including such entities as school boards, city councils and the Board of Supervisors).

Penal Code §933.05(f) specifically prohibits disclosure of the contents of this report by a public agency or its officers or governing body prior to the release to the public. The report will be released to the public and posted on the grand jury website two (2) or more days after the date of this letter.

The Penal Code is specific as to the format of responses. Complete and sign the enclosed Response Form and attach any additional comments as required.

Should you have any questions after reviewing the enclosures, please contact me at grandjury@co.mendocino.ca.us or at the address above.

Sincerely,

Katharine Wylie Foreperson Mendocino County Grand Jury



SUMMARY OF PENAL CODE 933.05

Penal Code § 933.05 provides for only two (2) acceptable responses with which agencies and/or departments (respondents) may respond with respect to the **findings** of a Grand Jury report:

- 1. The respondent agrees with the finding.
- 2. The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with the findings, in which case the respondent shall specify the portion of the finding that is disputed and shall include an explanation of the reasons therefore.

Penal Code § 933.05 provides for only four (4) acceptable responses with which agencies and/or departments (respondents) may respond with in respect to the **recommendations** of the Grand Jury.

- 1. The recommendation <u>has</u> been implemented, with a summary regarding the implemented action.
- 2. The recommendation <u>has not</u> yet been implemented, but will be in the future, with a timeframe for implementation.
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis, with a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or head of the agency/department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report.
- 4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, with a detailed explanation therefore.

However, If a finding and/or recommendation of the Grand Jury addresses **budgetary** or **personnel** matters of a county agency/department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only those budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority. The response of the elected agency or department head shall address all aspects of the findings or recommendations affecting his or her agency/department.

Grand Jury Report RESPONSE FORM

| RE: Repo | rt Titled: : Emergency Communication – Consolidation is Imperative | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report Date | ed: June 3, 2010 | | | | |
| Response F | Form Submitted By: | | | | |
| Mark Putho 250 Cypres Fort Bragg, | | | | | |
| Response N | AUST be submitted, per Penal Code §933.05, no later than: August 3, 2010 | | | | |
| I have revid | ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>FINDINGS</u> portion of the report as | | | | |
| ☑ | I (we) agree with the Findings numbered: | | | | |
| | #2, 3, 5, 6, 9 | | | | |
| | ✓ I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have attached, as required, a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons therefore. #1, 4, 7, 8 | | | | |
| • | Explanation for findings numbered: | | | | |
| #1 | The amount of the MCSO Technology Grant is \$2,067,129 | | | | |
| #4 | The finding calls for action from agencies other than FBPD and only those agencies can adequately respond to this finding. | | | | |
| #7 The five year contract costs for emergency dispatch services are not be on a "volume of calls" cost formula. | | | | | |
| #8 | Members of this department are not qualified to comment on this finding. | | | | |
| I have revi the report o | ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u> portion of as follows: | | | | |
| 0 | The following Recommendation(s) have been implemented and <u>attached</u> , as <u>required</u> , is a summary describing the implemented actions: | | | | |
| О | The following Recommendation(s) have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, <u>attached</u> , <u>as required</u> is a time frame for implementation: | | | | |

GRAND JURY REPORT RESPONSE FORM PAGE TWO

| Ø | The following Recommendation(s) require further analysis, and <u>attached as required</u> , is an explanation and the scope and parameters of the planned analysis, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared, discussed and approved by the officer and/or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed: (This time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report) #1 |
|-----|---|
| Ø | The following Recommendations will NOT be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not deemed reasonable, and <u>attached</u> , <u>as required</u> is an explanation therefore: 2, 3 |
| • | Explanation for recommendations numbered: |
| | #1 This recommendation calls for action by other agencies (UPD, WPD, and MCSO). While the Department can support this recommendation submitted by the Grand Jury, the recommendation requires action from other agencies to complete. The members of this department will participate in future discussions and efforts on this recommendation. |
| | #2 This recommendation calls for action by other agencies (UPD, WPD, and MCSO). While the Department can support this recommendation submitted by the Grand Jury, the recommendation requires action from other agencies to complete. FBPD has a five year (2009-2014) contractual agreement with UPD for Emergency Dispatch Services. |
| | #3 This recommendation calls for action from the Mendocino County Grand Jury. While the department can support this recommendation submitted by the Grand Jury, the implementation of the recommendation rests with the Grand Jury. |
| | apleted the above responses, and have attached, as required the following number of his response form: |
| Nur | nber of Pages attached: |

I understand that responses to Grand Jury Reports are public records. They will be posted on the Grand Jury website: www.co.mendocino.ca.us/grandjury. The clerk of the responding agency is required to maintain a copy of the response.

I understand that I must submit this signed response form and any attachments as follows:

First Step: E-mail (word documents or scanned pdf file format) to:

- The Grand Jury Foreperson at: grandjury@co.mendocino.ca.us
- The Presiding Judge: grandjury@mendocino.courts.ca.gov
- The County's Executive Office: <u>ceo@co.mendocino.ca.us</u>

Second Step: Mail all originals to:

Mendocino County Grand Jury P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, CA 95482

| Printed Name: | Mark Puthuff | | |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Title: | Chief of Police | 7 | |
| Signed: | MEL | Date: Tury | 129, 2010 |