GRAND JURY REPORT

RESPONSE FORM

Datea	: June 3, 2020
Respons	se is REQUIRED no later than 60 days from the report date.
e revie	wed the report and submit my responses to the <u>FINDINGS</u> portion of the report as follows:
A	I (we) agree with the Findings numbered:
7	1, 3, 4, 5, 7
×	I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have attached a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons therefore.
review	ed the report and submit my responses to the <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u> portion of the report as follows:
review	The following Recommendation(s) have have been implemented and <u>attached</u> , as <u>requested</u> , is a summary
	The following Recommendation(s) have have been implemented and <u>attached</u> , as <u>requested</u> , is a summary describing the implemented actions:

1 of 3

REQUIRED RESPONSE FORM Page 2 of 2

	s will NOT be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not <u>requested</u> , is an explanation therefore:
3	
I have completed the above responses, and have a	ttached, as requested the following number of pages to this response form:
Number of Pages attached: 3.	
	orts are public records. They will be posted on the Grand Jury website: the clerk of the responding agency is required to maintain a copy of the
I understand that I must submit this signed respon	nse form and any attachments as follows:
First Step: E-mail in pdf file format to:	Second Step: Mail all originals to:
The Grand Jury Foreperson at:	Mendocino County Civil Grand Jury
grandjury@mendocinocounty.org_ The Presiding Judge:	P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, California 95482
grandjury@mendocino.courts.ca.gov	okini, california 22 102
sanna Sanan sanas sanas	
Printed Name: Shari L. Schapmire	
Title: Treasurer-Tax Collector	
Signed: Shaw L. Schapmie	Date: July 3, 2020
	N.

2 of 3

Findings

F2. Over the past few years, TP difference between projected revenue and actual revenue collected has been increasing.

Treasurer-Tax Collector: The Treasurer-Tax Collector has no specific information regarding these findings and, therefore, is unable to agree or disagree with the referenced findings.

F6. The GJ found no current strategic plan to protect County residential services, such as public works, health and human services, law enforcement, should a recession occur.

Treasurer-Tax Collector: The Treasurer-Tax Collector has no specific information regarding these findings and, therefore, is unable to agree or disagree with the referenced findings.

Recommendations

R1. The County provide management/oversight of the TP account.

Treasurer-Tax Collector: The recommendation has already been implemented as the County is already providing management/oversight through the budget process. The Treasurer-Tax Collector is not involved in the budget process. If any issues arise, the Debt Committee will meet to discuss remedies.

R2. The BOS terminate from the TP, SDs which no longer contribute their full amount in taxes to the County.

Treasurer-Tax Collector: The recommendation has already been implemented. As the report states, properties located in the Brooktrails subdivision have not been teetered since 2012. The Auditor's Office continuity monitors all districts.

R3. The BOS considers discontinuing the TP for Mendocino County. Discontinuation requires only a BOS Resolution; CRTC allows the BOS by resolution to opt out of the TP in any fiscal year.

Treasurer-Tax Collector: This recommendation is not warranted at this time. If, through the budget process, there is determined to be an issue it will first be taken up by the Debt Committee. After a comprehensive analysis, if the Debt Committee recommends changes or discontinuance of the TP, the Debt Committee will bring the issue forward to the BOS.

3 of 3