Grand Jury Report

RESPONSE FORM

Grand Ju	ry Report Title: Providing Effective Law Enforcement in Mendocino County	
Report Dated: March 30, 2012		
Response	e Form Submitted By:	
Carmel And Mendocino 501 Low C Ukiah, CA	County Sap Road, Room 1010	
Response July 9, 20	e MUST be submitted, per Penal Code §933.05, no later than:	
	viewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>FINDINGS</u> portion of as follows:	
	I (we) agree with the Findings numbered:	
	1, 5-6, 9, 11, 12, 14-20	
	I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have <u>attached, as required</u> , a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons	
the	refore. 2-4, 7-8, 10, 13, 21-25	
	riewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u> the report as follows:	
	The following Recommendation(s) have have been implemented and <u>attached, as required</u> , is a summary describing the implemented actions:	
	3 & 6	
	The following Recommendation(s) have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, <u>attached</u> , <u>as required</u> is a time frame for implementation:	

GRAND JURY REPORT RESPONSE FORM PAGE TWO

	The following Recommendation(s) require further analysis, and <u>attached as required</u> , is an explanation and the scope and parameters of the planned analysis, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared, discussed and approved by the officer and/or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed: (This time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report)
	The following Recommendations will NOT be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not deemed reasonable, <i>attached, as required</i> is an explanation therefore: 1, 2, 4-5, 7-9
	impleted the above responses, and have attached, as required the following pages to this response form:
Nur	mber of Pages attached:
posted on	nd that responses to Grand Jury Reports are public records. They will be the Grand Jury website: www.co.mendocino.ca.us/grandjury . The clerk of the gagency is required to maintain a copy of the response.
l understa follows:	nd that I must submit this signed response form and any attachments as
First	Step: E-mail (word documents or scanned pdf file format) to:
	e Grand Jury Foreperson at: grandjury@co.mendocino.ca.us e Presiding Judge: grandjury@mendocino.courts.ca.gov
Seco	ond Step: Mail all originals to:
	Mendocino County Grand Jury P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, CA 95482
Printed Na	ime: Carmel J. Angelo
	f Executive Officer
Signed:	MMW Of BAV Date: 7/9/12

<u>Findings #2-4, 10, 13, 21-25</u>: The Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer has no specific information regarding this finding. Please refer to the response from the Mendocino County Sheriff.

<u>Findings #7</u>: Proposition 172 funds are deposited into a separate Agency Fund on a monthly basis, and then distributed to the four cities and the County's General Fund.

Findings #8: The Auditor's Office provided the DA and the Sheriff with an accounting of Proposition 172 funds in FY 11-12.

<u>Recommendations #1-2</u>: The Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer has no authority to implement this recommendation.

Recommendations #3: The Sheriff's Office budget is balanced in FY 11 - 12.

<u>Recommendations #4</u>: Although this recommendation is addressed to the Board of Supervisors, the Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer would like to state that the Sheriff is free to spend budgeted funds or asset seizure funds as the sheriff sees fit.

<u>Recommendations #5</u>: The Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer has no authority over staffing within the Sheriff's Office.

Recommendations #6: It is important to note that through a Memorandum of Understanding between the Sheriff's Office and Mental Health, psychiatric care hours for inmates have fluctuated over the years from 4 hours to 20 hours a week. A side letter agreement with California Forensic Medical Group, Inc. (CFMG) was approved by the Board of Supervisors on May 22, 2012, which ensured 8 hours of mental health services remained available in the jail each week.

Recommendations #7: While the Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer agrees in theory with this recommendation, the care and treatment of inmates is under the authority of the Mendocino County Sheriff. Please see the response to this recommendation submitted by the Sheriff.

Recommendations #8: The manner in which Proposition 172 funds are held is at the discretion of the Mendocino County Auditor-Controller.

Recommendations #9: The Mendocino County Chief Executive Officer has no authority to direct the Mendocino County Auditor-Controller. However, the Auditor's Office maintains a monthly accounting of Proposition 172 funds, which is readily available upon request.