



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES

860 NORTH BUSH STREET • UKIAH • CALIFORNIA • 95482
120 WEST FIR STREET • FORT BRAGG • CALIFORNIA • 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR
TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650
FAX: 707-463-5709
FB PHONE: 707-964-5379
FB FAX: 707-961-2427
pbs@mendocinocounty.org
www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 14, 2019

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE
DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 19, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2018-0006

OWNERS/APPLICANTS: DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS

AGENT: RON W FRANZ

REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14).

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 1

STAFF PLANNER: EDUARDO HERNANDEZ

The staff report and notice will be available for public review 21 days prior to the hearing at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, and at 120 West Fir Street, Fort Bragg, California and on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at <https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/planning-building-services/meeting-agendas/PC>.

Your comments regarding the above project and/or the Draft Negative Declaration are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California, no later than Wednesday September 18, 2019. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing.

The Planning Commission's action regarding this item shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th day after the Planning Commission's decision. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at (707) 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission's decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES

860 NORTH BUSH STREET • UKIAH • CALIFORNIA • 95482

120 WEST FIR STREET • FORT BRAGG • CALIFORNIA • 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR

TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650

FAX: 707-463-5709

FB PHONE: 707-964-5379

FB FAX: 707-961-2427

pbs@mendocinocounty.org

www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 12, 2019

Department of Transportation
Environmental Health - Ukiah
FB-PBS
CalFire – Prevention
CalFire – Resource Management
Department of Fish and Wildlife

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 19, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2018-0006

OWNERS/APPLICANTS: DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS

AGENT: RON W FRANZ

REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14).

STAFF PLANNER: EDUARDO HERNANDEZ

RESPONSE DUE DATE: Wednesday September 18, 2019. If no response is received by this date, we will assume no recommendation or comments are forthcoming and that you are in agreement with the contents of the Draft Negative Declaration. A copy of the Draft Negative Declaration is attached for your review.

The staff report and notice will be available for public review 21 days prior to the hearing at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, and 120 W Fir Street, Fort Bragg, California and on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at: <https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/planning-building-services/meeting-agendas/PC>

It should be noted that the decision making body may consider and approve modifications to the requested project(s). Your comments regarding the above project(s) are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush Street Ukiah, California. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing(s).

The Planning Commission's action shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th calendar day after the Planning Commission's decision. If appealed, the Board of Supervisors action shall be final. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing(s) described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing(s). All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at (707) 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES
860 NORTH BUSH STREET • UKIAH • CALIFORNIA • 95482
120 WEST FIR STREET • FORT BRAGG • CALIFORNIA • 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR
TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650
FAX: 707-463-5709
FB PHONE: 707-964-5379
FB FAX: 707-961-2427
pbs@mendocinocounty.org
www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 14, 2019

TO: Ukiah Daily Journal
FROM: James F. Feenan, Commission Services Supervisor
SUBJECT: Publication of Legal Notice.

Please publish the following notice one time on August 21, 2019 in the Legal Notices Section of the Ukiah Daily Journal.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE
DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 19, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chamber, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2018-0006

OWNERS/APPLICANTS: DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS

AGENT: RON W FRANZ

REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14).

STAFF PLANNER: EDUARDO HERNANDEZ

A copy of the Draft Negative Declaration is available for public review at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah and at 120 West Fir Street, Fort Bragg, California. The staff report and notice are available on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at <https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/planning-building-services/meeting-agendas/PC>.

Your comments regarding the above project and/or the Draft Negative Declaration are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush

Street, Ukiah, California 95482, no later than Wednesday September 18, 2019. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing.

The Planning Commission's action regarding this item shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th day after the Planning Commission's decision. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at (707) 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission's decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



**PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT- MINOR SUBDIVISION**

**SEPTEMBER 19, 2019
MS_2018-0006**

SUMMARY

OWNERS/APPLICANTS: DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS
7899 UVA DRIVE
REDWOOD VALLEY, CA 95470

AGENT: RON W FRANZ
2335 APPOLINARIS DRIVE
UKIAH, CA 95482

REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

LOCATION: 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14).

TOTAL ACREAGE: 7.3± Acres

GENERAL PLAN: Rural Residential (RR:1)

ZONING: Rural Residential (RR-1)

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: Supervisor Brown (1st District)

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS

STAFF PLANNER: EDUARDO HERNANDEZ

BACKGROUND

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

RELATED APPLICATIONS ON-SITE: Minor Subdivision # MS 8-83 resulted in the creation of the subject parcel.

SITE CHARACTERISTICS: The project site is located 2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, and is 7.3± acres in size. The parcel is less than a mile south from US Highway 101 entrances, and lies adjacent to Uva Drive (CR 239). There is a 40 ft. wide driveway in the western corner of the property that is intended to serve each dwelling unit. Presently, there are two (2) existing 2,000± sq. ft. single-family residences and one 725± sq. ft. garage. There are two (2) leachfields, two (2) Redwood Valley County Water District connections, and one (1) well. Electricity, gas, and telephone connections are provided through utility companies.

Upon subdivision, "Parcel 1" will be 1.31± acres in size and remain undeveloped; "Parcel 2" will be 2.90± acres and retain one (1) of the existing residences, one (1) leachfield, and one (1) water connection; and "Parcel 3" will be 3.09± acres and retain the other residence, garage, well, and water connection.

SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING: As listed on Table 1, the surrounding lands are primarily zoned Rural Residential. The subject property is situated along a publicly maintained local road (Uva Drive – CR 239). The adjacent lots to the east and west appear developed with single-family residential dwelling units and accessory structures, while the lots across Uva Drive are a mixture of RR-2 & RR-5 zoning designations. Forsythe Creek runs along the northern property boundary and separates the subject property from vacant land that is zoned RR-1.

	GENERAL PLAN	ZONING	LOT ACREAGES	USES
NORTH	Rural Residential (RR:1)	Rural Residential (RR-1)	11.5± & 22.58±	Vacant
EAST	Rural Residential (RR:1)	Rural Residential (RR-1)	6.5±	Residential
SOUTH	Rural Residential (RR:2 & 5)	Rural Residential (RR-2 & 5)	1 & 25±	Residential
WEST	Rural Residential (RR:1)	Rural Residential (RR-1)	1±, 1±, & 2.3±	Residential

PUBLIC SERVICES:

Access: US Highway 101 > West Road (CR 237) > Uva Drive (CR 239)
 Fire District: CalFire and Redwood Valley-Calpella Fire District
 Water District: Redwood Valley County Water District
 Sewer District: None
 School District: Ukiah Unified School District

AGENCY COMMENTS: On April 26, 2019 project referrals were sent to the following responsible or trustee agencies with jurisdiction over the project. A list of the agencies response is listed below. Any comment that would trigger a project modification or denial are discussed in full as key issues in the following section.

REFERRAL AGENCIES	COMMENT
MC Department of Transportation	Comments
MC Environmental Health – Ukiah	Comments
MC PBS, Building Services Division – Ukiah	No Comments
MC Assessor's Office	No Comments
MC Air Quality Management District	No Comments
MC Water Agency	No Response
MC Addresser's Office	Comments
Archaeological Commission	Comments
CalFire – Prevention	No Comments
CalFire – Resource Management	No Response
CA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife	No Response
Redwood Valley Municipal Advisory Council	No Response
Redwood Valley County Water District	Comments
Redwood Valley-Calpella Fire District	No Response
Redwood Valley Little River Band of Pomo Indians	Comments
Cloverdale Rancheria	No Response
Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians	No Response

KEY ISSUES

1. General Plan and Zoning Consistency: The project is consistent with the General Plan designation for Rural Residential. All three of the proposed parcels will maintain the 40,000 square foot minimum lot area requirement. The Land Use Section of the General Plan states the following as the intent of the Rural Residential Land Use Category, Policy DE-14:

The RR classification is intended to encourage local small scale food production (farming) in areas which are not well suited for large scale commercial agriculture, defined by present or potential use, location, mini-climate, slope, exposure, etc. The Rural Residential classification is not intended to be a growth area, and residences should be located as to create minimal impact on agricultural viability.

The subject property generally complies with the Rural Residential (RR:1) land use category. Given the size of the lot and soils, it will be developed as intended by the General Plan.

The Zoning classification of the proposed project is Rural Residential, which is compatible with its General Plan land use designation by the General Plan. The Zoning Ordinance states the following as intent of the Rural Residential District (Mendocino County Code Section 20.048.005):

This district is intended to create and enhance residential areas where agricultural use compatible with a permanent residential use is desired. Typically the "R-R" District would be applied to rural or semi-rural areas where urban levels of service are not available and where large lots are desired.

The proposed project is consistent with the Rural Residential (RR-1) zoning district classification, per intended uses and minimum parcels sizes.

2. Division of Land Regulations: The project was reviewed by the County Subdivision Committee on July 11, 2019, at which time the Subdivision Committee recommended conditional approval of the proposed minor subdivision to the Planning Commission per the required finding found in Mendocino County Code Section 17-48.5. No conflicts with the County Division of Land Regulations were identified.

3. Environmental Protection: An Initial Study for the proposed project was completed in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Staff has found that the project will have a less than significant impact on the environment, without mitigation. Therefore, a Negative Declaration is recommended.

RECOMMENDATION

By resolution, the Planning Commission adopt a Negative Declaration and grant MS_2018-0006 for the Project, as proposed by the applicant, based on the facts and findings and subject to the Conditions of Approval.

08/12/2019

DATE



EDUARDO HERNANDEZ
PLANNER II

Appeal Period: 10 Days
Appeal Fee: \$1,616.00


ATTACHMENTS:

- | | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| A. Location Map | J. Fire Hazard Zones & Responsibility Areas |
| B. Topographic Map | K. Wildland-Urban Interface Zones |
| C. Aerial Imagery (Vicinity) | L. Special Flood Hazard Areas |
| D. Aerial Imagery (Project Area) | M. Wetlands |
| E. Tentative Lots | N. Stormwater Permitting Zones |
| F. Tentative Map | O. Local Soils |
| G. Adjacent Parcels | P. Farmland Classes |
| H. Zoning Display | Q. Water Districts |
| I. General Plan Classifications | R. Miscellaneous Districts |

RESOLUTION AND CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL (Exhibit A):


[NEGATIVE DECLARATION] Initial Study available online at:

www.mendocinocounty.org/government/planning-building-services/meeting-agendas/planning-commission




CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


- Major Towns & Places
- Major Roads
- Major Rivers
- Highways



LOCATION MAP
 ATTACHMENT A






CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley




TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 40 FEET
ATTACHMENT B



CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley



-  Named Rivers
-  Public Roads
-  Private Roads




AERIAL IMAGERY (VICINITY)
ATTACHMENT C




CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley

 Named Rivers
 Public Roads



AERIAL IMAGERY (PROJECT AREA)
ATTACHMENT D



CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


NO SCALE

TENTATIVE LOTS
ATTACHMENT E




CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


NO SCALE





CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley





ADJACENT PARCELS
 ATTACHMENT G




CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


 Zoning Districts
 Public Roads










CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


 General Plan Classes






CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley

-  Local Responsibility Area
-  County Fire Districts
-  High Fire Hazard
-  Moderate Fire Hazard




FIRE HAZARD ZONES & RESPONSIBILITY AREAS
STATE RESPONSIBILITY AREA




CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


Water



WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE ZONES
 ATTACHMENT K




CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley




CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley




 Ukiah Stormwater Areas





STORMWATER PERMITTING ZONES
ATTACHMENT N



CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


-  Naturally Occurring Asbestos
-  Eastern Serpentine Inclusions
-  Eastern Study Soil Types







CASE: MS 2018-0006
 OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
 APN: 165-040-14
 APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
 AGENT: Ron Franz
 ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


- Urban & Built-Up Land (D)
- Rural Residential & Rural Commercial (R)
- Grazing Land (G)
- Unique Farmland (U)
- Prime Farmland (P)









CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley


 County Water Districts





CASE: MS 2018-0006
OWNER: CORNS, Donald & Shelli
APN: 165-040-14
APLCT: Don & Shelli Corns
AGENT: Ron Franz
ADDRESS: 7899 Uva Drive, Redwood Valley

-  UVAP Boundary
-  Supervisorial Districts 2010
-  Redwood Valley MAC



Resolution Number _____

County of Mendocino
Ukiah, California

September 19, 2019

MS_2018-0006 DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
MENDOCINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING A NEGATIVE
DECLARATION AND GRANTING A THREE PARCEL SUBDIVISION

WHEREAS, the applicants, DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS, filed an application for a Minor Subdivision with the Mendocino County Department of Planning and Building Services to subdivide an approximately 7.3 acres lot into 3 parcels, 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14); Supervisorial District 1; (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, a Negative Declaration was prepared for the Project and noticed and made available for agency and public review on August 21, 2019 in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the State and County CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with applicable provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on, September 19, 2019, at which time the Planning Commission heard and received all relevant testimony and evidence presented orally or in writing regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project. All interested persons were given an opportunity to hear and be heard regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has had an opportunity to review this Resolution and finds that it accurately sets for the intentions of the Planning Commission regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission makes the following findings;

1. General Plan Findings: The subject property is designated Rural Residential (RR:1) under the General Plan, and the Project is consistent with the General Plan per Policy DE-14.
2. Zoning Findings: The subject property is classified Rural Residential (RR-1). The Project is consistent with the County Zoning per Mendocino County Code §20.048.
3. Environmental Findings: A CEQA Initial Study was completed by staff, which determined the Project to have a less than significant to no impact on the environment, and any concerns are adequately addressed through the conditions of approval so that no adverse environmental impacts will result from the Project; therefore a Negative Declaration is adopted.
4. Division of Land Regulations: The Planning Commission finds the Project to be consistent with Chapter 17 of the Mendocino County Code, Division of Land Regulations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby adopts the Negative Declaration set forth in the Conditions of Approval. The Planning Commission certifies that the Negative Declaration has been completed, reviewed, and considered, together with the comments received during the public review process, in compliance with CEQA and State and County CEQA Guidelines, and finds

that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby grants the requested Minor Subdivision, subject to the Conditions of Approval in Exhibit "A", attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary as the custodian of the document and other material which constitutes the record of proceedings upon which the Planning Commission decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the County of Mendocino Planning and Building Services, 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, CA 95482.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission action shall be final on the 11th day after the date of the Resolution unless an appeal is taken.

I hereby certify that according to the Provisions of Government Code Section 25103 delivery of this document has been made.

ATTEST: JAMES F. FEENAN
Commission Services Supervisor

By: _____

BY: BRENT SCHULTZ
Director

MARILYN OGLE, Chair
Mendocino County Planning Commission

EXHIBIT A

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL MS_2018-0006 – DONALD J & SHELLI I CORNS

SEPTEMBER 19, 2019

APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

ALL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL MUST BE MET PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS FROM DATE OF APPROVAL, UNLESS RENEWED PURSUANT TO THE MENDOCINO COUNTY CODE.

Aesthetics:

1. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map stating all future external lighting, whether installed for security, safety or landscape design purposes, shall be shielded, downcast or shall be positioned in a manner that will not shine or allow light glare to exceed the boundaries of the parcel on which it is placed.

Air Quality:

2. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map stating that “future development of building site(s), access roads or driveways may be subject to the grading requirements and drainage control measures identified in the Conditions of Approval.”
3. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map stating that “the access road, driveway and interior circulation routes be maintained in such a manner as to insure minimum dust generation subject to Air Quality Management District Regulation 1 Rule 430. All grading must comply with Air Quality Management District Regulations Rule 430. Any rock material, including natural rock from the property, used for surfacing must comply with Air Quality Management District regulations regarding asbestos content.”

Biological Resources:

4. This entitlement does not become effective or operative and no work shall be commenced under this entitlement until the California Department of Fish and Wildlife filing fees required or authorized by Section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code are submitted to the Mendocino County Department of Planning and Building Services. **Said fee of \$2,404.75 (effective January 1, 2019) shall be made payable to the Mendocino County Clerk and submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services prior to September 30, 2019 at 5:00 p.m.** Any waiver of the fee shall be on a form issued by the Department of Fish and Wildlife upon their finding that the project has “no effect” on the environment. If the project is appealed, the payment will be held by the Department of Planning and Building Services until the appeal is decided. Depending on the outcome of the appeal, the payment will either be filed with the County Clerk (if the project is approved) or returned to the payer (if the project is denied). Failure to pay this fee by the specified deadline shall result in the entitlement becoming null and void. **The applicant has the sole responsibility to insure timely compliance with this condition.**

Cultural Resources:

5. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map stating that in the event that archaeological resources (cultural resources and/or human remains) are encountered during the development of the property, work in the immediate vicinity of the find shall be halted until all requirements of Chapter 22.12 of the Mendocino County Code relating to archaeological discoveries have been satisfied.

Geology & Soils:

6. The sub-divider shall **acknowledge in writing** to the Department of Planning and Buildings Services that all grading activities and site preparation, at a minimum, shall adhere to the following "Best Management Practices". The applicant shall submit to the Department of Planning and Building Services an acknowledgement of these grading and site preparation standards:
 - a. That adequate drainage controls be constructed and maintained in such a manner as to prevent contamination of surface and/or ground water, and to prevent erosion.
 - b. The applicant shall endeavor to protect and maintain as much vegetation on the site as possible, removing only as much as required to conduct the operation.
 - c. All concentrated water flows, shall be discharged into a functioning storm drain system or into a natural drainage area well away from the top of banks.
 - d. Temporary erosion and sediment control measures shall be established and maintained until permanent protection is established.
 - e. Erosion control measures shall include, but are not limited to, seeding and mulching exposed soil on hill slopes, strategic placement of hay bales below areas subject to sheet and rill erosion, and installation of bioengineering materials where necessary. Erosion control measures shall be in place prior to October 1st.
 - f. All earth moving activities shall be conducted between May 15th and October 15th of any given calendar year unless wet weather grading protocols are approved by the Department of Planning and Building Services or other agencies having jurisdiction.
 - g. Pursuant to the California Building Code and Mendocino County Building Regulations a grading permit will be required unless exempted by the Building Official or exempt by one of the following:
 - i. An excavation that (1) is less than 2 feet (610 mm) in depth or (2) does not create a cut slope greater than 5 feet (1524 mm) in height and steeper than 1 unit vertical in 1½ units horizontal (66.7% slope).
 - ii. A fill less than 1 foot (305 mm) in depth and placed on natural terrain with a slope flatter than 1 unit vertical in 5 units horizontal (20% slope), or less than 3 feet (914 mm) in depth, not intended to support structures, that does not exceed 50 cubic yards (38.3 m³) on any one lot and does not obstruct a drainage.

Hazards & Hazardous Materials:

7. All areas within the subdivision subject to flooding shall be clearly identified on the Parcel Map. The information on the parcel map shall be based on a flood hazards report prepared by a Civil Engineer and filed with the Planning and Building Services Department and the Mendocino County Department of Transportation. The flood hazards report, using data developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, shall clearly identify the magnitude of the flood potential as such relates to the subdivision. A reference to the report shall be made on the parcel map.

The area of the subdivision within the "floodway" as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and on file with the Mendocino County Planning and Building Services Department shall be delineated easement on the Parcel Map.

A note shall appear on the Parcel Map stating that "Development within the flood plain as identified on this map is subject to those restrictions in the Flood Plain regulations of the Mendocino County Code."

8. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map stating that "No toxic, hazardous or contaminated materials or waste shall be stored in a designated buffer area or clearly identified flood plain or floodway."

Fire:

9. The subdivider shall comply with those recommendations in the CalFire letter of December 14, 2018 (CAL FIRE# 557-18) or other alternatives as acceptable to CalFire. Written verification shall be submitted from CalFire to the Department of Planning and Building Services that this condition has been met to the satisfaction of CalFire.
10. The subdivider shall comply with those recommendations from the Redwood Valley – Calpella Fire District to prevent or minimize safety hazards from the project. Written verification shall be submitted from the Fire District to the Department of Planning and Building Services that this condition has been met to the satisfaction of the Fire District.

Hydrology & Water Quality:

11. The applicant shall provide the Division of Environmental Health adequate advance written notice (minimum of 15 days) of the date and time any field soil testing procedures for any proposed on-site sewage systems to allow the Division of Environmental Health staff to be present for soil testing.
12. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site evaluation report (DEH FORM #42.04) for parcel 1; completed by a qualified individual demonstrating compliance with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Basin Plan Policy for On-site Waste Treatment and Disposal and Mendocino County Division of Environmental Health's Requirements (DEH FORM # 26.09).
13. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site evaluation report (DEH FORM #42.04) for a replacement system for the existing structures located on parcels 2 and 3, completed by a qualified individual, demonstrating compliance with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Basin Plan Policy for On-site Waste Treatment and Disposal and Mendocino County Division of Environmental Health's Requirements (DEH FORM # 26.09).
14. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site development plan at a scale of not more than 1 inch = 50 feet showing all adjacent parcels on one sheet completed by a qualified individual showing the location and dimensions of the initial sewage disposal system(s), 100% replacement area(s), acceptable setback distances to water wells and other pertinent setback distances which may impact project site development.
15. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health acceptable water quantity evaluation of 1,200 gallon Proof of Water Test (DEH FORM #26.05). The evaluation must be completed by a qualified individual of a water source located on parcel 3 of the subdivision demonstrating an adequate water supply in compliance with the Division of Environmental Health's Requirements (DEH FORM #26.09).
16. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable standard mineral analysis performed by a certified public health laboratory from an identified source on the subdivision. Compounds to be tested for, at a minimum are: Calcium, Iron (total), Magnesium, Manganese (total),

Potassium, Sodium, Bicarbonate, Carbonate, Corrosivity (pH), Alkalinity (total), Total dissolved solids, Turbidity, Chloride, Fluoride, Nitrate, Sulfate, Calcium hardness, Magnesium hardness and Total hardness.

Transportation:

The sub-divider shall comply with the Transportation conditions noted below; or other alternatives as acceptable to the Mendocino County Department of Transportation.

17. EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS

- a. There shall be provided an access easement of forty (40) feet in width from a publicly maintained road to Parcel 2. Documentation of access easement shall be provided to the Mendocino County Department of Transportation for their review prior to final approval.
- b. If a Parcel Map is filed, all easements of record shall be shown on the parcel map. All public utility lines shall be shown as easements with widths as shown of record or a minimum of ten (10) feet, whichever is greater.
- c. Private roads and public utility easements shall be offered for dedication.
- d. All natural drainage and water courses shall be considered as easements. Minimum width shall be twenty (20) feet, or to the high water level plus five (5) feet horizontal distance, whichever is greater. If a Parcel Map is filed, such easements shall be shown on the final parcel map.

18. ROAD IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS

- a. Subdivision road within the access easement shall be improved in accordance with County of Mendocino Road and Development Standards drawing A10H and the following minimum standards: Twenty Two (22) foot wide, eight (8) inch minimum thickness Class II Aggregate Base rocked road within the access easement. The road improvements shall be constructed with Class II Aggregate Base per CalTrans Standards and Specifications 2018.
- b. A standard private road approach shall be constructed according to Mendocino County Road and Development Standards Drawing A51B from private road onto Uva Drive (CR 239), to be paved with asphalt concrete or comparable surfacing to the adjacent road. Concrete driveways shall not be permitted.
- c. There shall be a 40-foot radius turnaround constructed within a 50-foot radius easement OR 20-foot wide by 60-foot long hammerhead turnaround within a 40-foot wide by 80-foot long easement at the terminus of the access easement to the satisfaction of the Mendocino County Department of Transportation per Mendocino County Road and Development Standards Drawings A16 and A17. This turnaround shall be constructed with Class II Aggregate Base per CalTrans Standards and Specifications 2018.
- d. If approval of the tentative map is conditioned upon certain improvements being made by the subdivider, the subdivider shall notify the Mendocino County Department of Transportation when such improvements have been completed. Prior to the filing of the parcel map, required road improvement must be inspected and approved by the Department of Transportation. Current inspection fees apply.
- e. Any proposed work with County rights-of-way requires obtaining an encroachment permit from the Mendocino County Department of Transportation.

Additional Conditions:

19. All building/development setbacks indicating front/rear/side to all property boundaries (existing and proposed) and roadway/easements shall be designated on the Parcel Map.
20. Pursuant to Government Code Section 66492 & 66493, prior to recordation of the Parcel Map, the sub-divider must: (1) Obtain a Certificate from the Mendocino County Tax Collector stating that all current taxes and any delinquent taxes have been paid, and (2) Pay a security deposit (or bond) for taxes that are a lien, but not yet due and payable.

THIS DIVISION OF LAND IS DEEMED COMPLETE WHEN ALL CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN MET, AND THE APPROVED PARCEL MAP IS RECORDED BY THE COUNTY RECORDER.

Section I	Description Of Project.
	<p>DATE: August 9, 2019</p> <p>CASE#: MS_2018-0006</p> <p>OWNERS/APPLICANTS: Donald J & Shelli I Corns</p> <p>AGENT: Ron W Franz</p> <p>REQUEST: Minor Subdivision of a 7.3± acre parcel creating three (3) parcels, ranging in size from 1.31± to 3.09± acres.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration</p> <p>LOCATION: 1.2± miles southwest of Redwood Valley town center, lying east of Uva Drive (CR 239), 0.3 ± miles southeast of its intersection with Bel Arbres Drive (CR 238B), located at 7899 & 7915 Uva Dr., Redwood Valley (APN: 165-040-14).</p> <p>STAFF PLANNER: Eduardo Hernandez</p>
Section II	Environmental Checklist.
	<p><i>"Significant effect on the environment" means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change, may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15382).</i></p> <p><i>Accompanying this form is a list of discussion statements for <u>all</u> questions, or categories of questions, on the Environmental Checklist (See Section III). This includes explanations of "no" responses.</i></p>

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems
	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance	

An explanation for all checklist responses is included, and all answers take into account the whole action involved, including off site as well as on-site; cumulative as well as project level; indirect as well as direct; and construction as well as operational impacts. The explanation of each issue identifies (a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance. In the checklist the following definitions are used:

"Potentially Significant Impact" means there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant.

"Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" means the incorporation of one or more mitigation measures can reduce the effect from potentially significant to a less than significant level.

“Less Than Significant Impact” means that the effect is less than significant and no mitigation is necessary to reduce the impact to a lesser level.

“No Impact” means that the effect does not apply to the Project, or clearly will not impact nor be impacted by the Project.

INITIAL STUDY/ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: This section assesses the potential environmental impacts which may result from the project. Questions in the Initial Study Checklist are stated and answers are provided based on analysis undertaken.

<u>I. AESTHETICS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

a – b) **No Impact:** Situated in Redwood Valley, the proposed subdivision is not located near any scenic vistas or scenic highways, thus there will be no adverse impact on any scenic resources.

c – d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision itself does not degrade quality of the site, but allows for development in the future that could change the existing visual character. However, due to the size of the parcels being created and two out of the three parcels being developed with structures already, any future development would have a “less than significant impact” on the aesthetic quality of the site. A Condition is recommended to lessen the impacts any new source of light might have on nighttime views in the area.

<u>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a – d) **No Impact:** There is no farmland at the subject location, or the immediate vicinity. Proposed parcel 1 is vacant with a vineyard in it, the proposed subdivision will create dividing lines between the vineyard and the other proposed parcels. The proposed subdivision does not contain any land in an Agriculture Preserve, thus it will not conflict with any existing land used for agriculture, or with any Williamson Act contracted lands. Additionally, the proposed subdivision will not conflict with any existing forest land, timberland, or timberland production zoning as there are minimal forest resources found on the parcel. With the lack of forest and agricultural resources, there is little potential for the proposed subdivision to have any impact on forest land and Farmland with regards to their conversion to another use.

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, b, d, e) **No Impact:** The parcel is developed with two houses and utilities, there are no proposed improvements upon subdivision of the property. However, there are some road improvements made Conditions per the Mendocino County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) requirements. Conditions are included to ensure that any construction activity will not conflict with any air quality plan or violate any air quality standard.
- c) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision itself will not increase any pollutants, however, there is potential for some pollutants to increase with future development of either parcel, such as dust from driveways. This increase is not expected to exceed state or federal standards. Conditions will ensure that the project will achieve compliance with the Mendocino County Air Quality Management District (AQMD) standards.

<u>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a – f) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision is not located near any sensitive habitats; thus there is no potential for any substantial adverse impacts on a sensitive habitat such as a riparian zone, wetland, wildlife corridor, or any form of conservation land. The closest habitat is the Forsythe Creek, which lies adjacent to the north-side of the project site, but will not be affected by this subdivision as no development near the creek is being proposed. Furthermore, as the subdivision is not located near any sensitive habitats, there is no potential for the proposed project to have an adverse impact on any sensitive species or native residents.

Additionally, the project is subject to the Department of Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 wildlife habitat loss mitigation fee. A Condition is recommended to achieve compliance with the habitat loss mitigation fee.

<u>V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a – d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision request was reviewed at the June 12, 2019, Archaeological Commission, which reviewed the archaeological survey prepared by Alex DeGeorgey dated April 9, 2019. The survey did not identify any archaeological, paleontological, or cultural resources that could be adversely impacted by the proposed subdivision. The Archaeological Commission accepted the survey, the standard condition applies.

<u>VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, c, d, e) **No Impact:** The project site is mostly flat, with a subtle grade on the northern portion towards Forsythe Creek. The site is not in an earthquake fault zone. No new development is being proposed that would result in any impacts to geology and soils, or to any existing structures. Furthermore, the existing soil type does not reflect any incapability to adequately support the use of a septic system or alternative system as a septic system already exists for Proposed Parcel 1.

- b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** Displacement of soil within the project area resulting from future earth movement is expected to be minimal. Significant erosion from site and the related placement of additional structures is unlikely. Potential impacts caused by grading activities in the future will be limited by implementation of a Condition.

<u>VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the proposed subdivision itself will not generate any greenhouse gas emissions, any possible future development and use of the unimproved parcel has the potential to generate such emissions. However, this is considered to be a less than significant impact because of the minimal scale at which any future development would occur.
- b) **No Impact:** Since the scale at which any potential generation of greenhouse gas emissions is minimal, there will be no conflicts with any plan, policy, or regulation regarding such emissions.

<u>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
emergency evacuation plan?				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a – h) **No Impact:** No hazardous sites are located near the project site, nor is the site within an airport land use planning area. Additionally, the project is not located in a wildland fire area, and has year round structural fire coverage provided by the Redwood Valley-Calpella Fire District. Impacts are not anticipated and no mitigation is required. A condition is recommended to ensure the project satisfies the requirements from the Local Fire Authority.

<u>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<u>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k) Result in an increase in pollutant discharges to receiving waters considering water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity and other typical stormwater pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l) Have a potentially significant impact on groundwater quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
m) Impact aquatic, wetland or riparian habitat?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Redwood Valley is a community with a local water agency of name "Redwood Valley County Water District." There is currently a moratorium which impede undeveloped parcels from acquiring a new connection to the Water District grid. The proposed subdivision includes two water meters and one well. The use of the existing well has the preliminary approval from the County's Division of Environmental Health, subject to tests and permitting.

- a – m) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision itself will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The proposed subdivision itself will not result in any pollutant discharges which would degrade groundwater quality. However, should the parcels be further developed in the future, there is the potential that more intensive uses could result in pollutant discharges and impacts on water quality. Additional housing may be proposed upon subdivision approval, however no development is proposed within the 100 year flood area at this time; a Condition will ensure a note on the parcel map raises awareness of those restrictions in the Flood Plain Regulations of the Mendocino County Code. Furthermore, the proposed subdivision is not within an inundation zone, therefore no floods can occur due to levee or dam failure. There is no evidence that the project would substantially deplete any groundwater supplies. However, there may be effects on such water issues should future development occur, though the potential impact on the site and area is considered less than significant. The Division of Environmental Health provided recommendations during the July 11, 2019 Subdivision Committee meeting, requiring a water test among other conditions; this is reflected in the recommended conditions. While there is potential for future development to occur on the subdivision, there will be no impacts on drainage patterns, particularly those that would result in flooding, because of the topography of the project site. This includes the potential for drainage systems to be impacted by polluted runoff. The northern site boundary is defined by the Forsythe Creek, therefore there is a relatively small riparian habitat portion. The project was referred out to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) and no response was received. Since no development other than the parcel subdivision is proposed at this time, there are no significant impacts on the riparian habitat. However, a Condition is recommended as stated in Section IV of this report to be in compliance with Department of Fish and Wildlife Code 711.4.

<u>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

- a – b) **No Impact:** As the proposed subdivision is the subdivision of a single parcel, it will not physically divide any established community, nor will it conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project. The subject parcel is zoned Rural Residential (RR-1), with 40,000 sq. ft. minimum lot area. All three of the proposed new parcels will meet the minimum parcel size requirement. Additionally, the project does not conflict with any identifiable conservation plans for special habitats or natural communities (as observed in the County records).

<u>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a – b) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision has not been identified as a location with mineral resources, thus it will not result in any loss of mineral resources, nor will it result in the loss of any available locally important mineral resource recovery sites.

<u>XII. NOISE.</u> Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, b, d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** There are no new buildings proposed at this time; however, the subdivision of the property would grant the property owners the opportunity to further develop the land. Therefore, after subdivision approval, there is a potential for people to be exposed to increased noise levels and ground borne vibrations during construction; although it is considered to be less than significant. While the subdivision itself would not increase any ambient noise levels, future development of the parcels could increase ambient noise levels, either permanently or temporarily. No excessive noise will result from the project and no mitigation is required.
- c, e, f) **No Impact:** The proposed project will not create a substantial permanent increase in ambient noises. The subdivision is not located within an airport land use plan, the nearest airport zone is in Ukiah; 7± miles south of the proposed subdivision. There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the project site, therefore no people residing or working in an airport would be affected by this project.

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project does not propose any development. However, the subdivision would allow for more housing to be developed later, as Mendocino County Code allows for up to two single family residences per parcel. The project would split one parcel into three, thus population growth is possible but at a small scale. No mitigation is required.
- b, c) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not propose the demolition of any housing, thus there will be no displacement of housing or people as a result of the project.

<u>XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Medical Services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project location is within the Local Responsibility Area of the Redwood Valley/Calpella Fire District, therefore a condition is recommended to ensure the applicant meets the standards of the Fire Department.

<u>XV. RECREATION.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, b) **No Impact:** The project will not result in any impact to recreation in the area. No mitigation is required.

<u>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a, c, f) **Less than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision will not immediately generate substantial vehicular movement, have an impact on existing transportation systems, or increase traffic hazards to

motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians. However, should the proposed subdivision be developed in the future, there could be a small increase of the impacts caused by these matters.

- b, d, e) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision will not affect existing parking facilities nor will it create demand for new parking as the project only entails the split of one residential parcel into three new residential parcels. However, future development would lead to an increased need for parking, but this would be alleviated through the creation of on-site parking. There is not, nor will there be, any issues regarding emergency access as the proposed parcels are located less than 0.85± miles from entrances to the Highway 101.

The Mendocino County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) provided their recommendations of approval on May 7, 2019, and slightly revised versions were presented during the project's public review during the Subdivision Committee meeting on July 11, 2019. These recommendations are reflected in the recommended conditions, which will ensure the project satisfies MCDOT requirements.

<u>XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is a resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a, b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** As stated in Section V of this report, an archaeological survey dated April 9, 2019 was prepared by Alex DeGeorgey. It was reviewed and accepted by the County's Archaeological Commission on June 12, 2019. The Archaeological Commission recommendation was for the applicant to follow the recommendations identified in the report; which includes to immediately stop all work if cultural resources and/or human remains are discovered. A condition is recommended to ensure the survey's recommendations are met.

<u>XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	---	--	---	----------------------

		Incorporated		
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a – g) **No Impact:** The project would not result in any significant impacts to utility or services systems. Redwood Valley County Water District will continue to provide water service to the two existing residential dwelling units, which are identified as “parcel 2” and “parcel 3” on the tentative map. “Parcel 1” will have a well. The entire property is not within a Sanitation District, thus the provision of such service is restricted to on-site septic systems. Two existing leachfield areas are identified on the applicant's tentative map for “parcel 2” and “parcel 3,” while a note shows for “parcel 1” stating it “will have a new system designed” and “Preliminary testing has already been done and the site has acceptable soils per Carl Rittiman & Associates.” The Division of Environmental Health (DEH) provided comments on May 1, 2019; then reaffirmed and further elaborated on them during the July 11, 2019 Subdivision Committee meeting, providing requirements for septic and water. DEH comments are reflected in the conditions. The proposed subdivision will comply with federal, state, and local regulations regarding solid waste.

<u>XIV. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, c) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, nor eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. Additionally, the proposed subdivision will not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.
- b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the proposed subdivision itself will not have considerable impacts, cumulatively, the project has the potential for impacts should future development occur. These issues have been taken into consideration and it has been determined that the cumulative effects from the proposed subdivision will have a less than significant impact on local residents and the environment.

DETERMINATION: On the basis of this initial evaluation:

☒ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

08/12/2019

DATE



EDUARDO HERNANDEZ
PLANNER II