



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES

860 NORTH BUSH STREET · UKIAH · CALIFORNIA · 95482
120 WEST FIR STREET · FORT BRAGG · CALIFORNIA · 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR
TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650
FAX: 707-463-5709
FB PHONE: 707-964-5379
FB FAX: 707-961-2427
pbs@mendocinocounty.org
www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 2, 2019

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE
DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 5, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017

DATE FILED: 5/6/2011

OWNER/APPLICANT: JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER

AGENT: JIM RONCO

REQUEST: Boundary Line Adjustment of three (3) legal parcels and minor subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN: 014-210-72, -73, -74).

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 3

STAFF PLANNER: SAM VANDEWATER

A copy of the Draft Negative Declaration will be available for public review 21 days prior to the hearing at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California, and at 120 West Fir Street, Fort Bragg, California. The staff report and notice are available on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at www.mendocinocounty.org/planning/PBS

Your comments regarding the above project and/or the Draft Negative Declaration are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California, no later than September 4, 2019. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing.

The Planning Commission's action regarding this item shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th day after the Planning Commission's decision. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission's decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES

860 NORTH BUSH STREET · UKIAH · CALIFORNIA · 95482
120 WEST FIR STREET · FORT BRAGG · CALIFORNIA · 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR
TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650
FAX: 707-463-5709
FB PHONE: 707-964-5379
FB FAX: 707-961-2427
pbs@mendocinocounty.org
www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 2, 2019

Planning – FB/Ukiah
Department of Transportation
Environmental Health - Ukiah/Fort Bragg
Building Inspection - Ukiah/Fort Bragg

Department of Forestry/ CalFire
Department of Fish and Wildlife
RWQCB
Army Corps of Engineers

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE
DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 5, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017

DATE FILED: 5/6/2011

OWNER/APPLICANT: JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER

AGENT: JIM RONCO

REQUEST: Boundary Line Adjustment of three (3) legal parcels and minor subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN: 014-210-72, -73, -74).

STAFF PLANNER: SAM VANDEWATER

RESPONSE DUE DATE: September 4, 2019. If no response is received by this date, we will assume no recommendation or comments are forthcoming and that you are in agreement with the contents of the Draft Negative Declaration. A copy of the Draft Negative Declaration is attached for your review.

www.mendocinocounty.org/planning/PBS

It should be noted that the decision making body may consider and approve modifications to the requested project(s). Your comments regarding the above project(s) are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush Street Ukiah, California. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing(s).

The Planning Commission's action regarding this item shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th day after the Planning Commission's decision. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



COUNTY OF MENDOCINO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES

860 NORTH BUSH STREET • UKIAH • CALIFORNIA • 95482
120 WEST FIR STREET • FORT BRAGG • CALIFORNIA • 95437

BRENT SCHULTZ, DIRECTOR
TELEPHONE: 707-234-6650
FAX: 707-463-5709
FB PHONE: 707-964-5379
FB FAX: 707-961-2427
pbs@mendocinocounty.org
www.mendocinocounty.org/pbs

August 2, 2019

TO: Mendocino County Observer
FROM: James F. Feenan, Commission Services Supervisor
SUBJECT: Publication of Legal Notice.

Please publish the following notice one time on August 9, 2019 in the Legal Notices Section of the Mendocino County Observer

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT NEGATIVE
DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC REVIEW

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Thursday, September 5, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors Chamber, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the following project and the Draft Negative Declaration at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item may be heard.

CASE#: MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017

DATE FILED: 5/6/2011

OWNER/APPLICANT: JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER

AGENT: JIM RONCO

REQUEST: Boundary Line Adjustment of three (3) legal parcels and minor subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN 014-210-72, -73, -74).

STAFF PLANNER: SAM VANDEWATER

A copy of the Draft Negative Declaration will be available for public review 21 days prior to the hearing at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California, and at 120 West Fir Street, Fort Bragg, California. The staff report and notice are available on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at www.mendocinocounty.org/planning/PBS

Your comments regarding the above project and/or the Draft Negative Declaration are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services Commission Staff, at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California 95482, no later than September 4, 2019. Oral comments may be presented to the Planning Commission during the public hearing.

The Planning Commission's action regarding this item shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The last day to file an appeal is the 10th day after the Planning Commission's decision. To file an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision, a written statement must be filed with the Clerk of the Board with a filing fee prior to the expiration of the above noted appeal period. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Department of Planning and Building Services or the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item may be obtained by calling the Department of Planning and Building Services at 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Planning Commission's decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Department of Planning and Building Services.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services



**PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT- MINOR SUBDIVISION**

**SEPTEMBER 5, 2019
MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017**

SUMMARY

OWNER/APPLICANT: JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER
136 NELSON RANCH ROAD
UKIAH, CA 95482

AGENT: JIM RONCO
445 N STATE ST
UKIAH, CA 95482

REQUEST: Boundary Line Adjustment of three (3) legal parcels and minor subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel.

LOCATION: 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN: 014-210-72, -73, -74).

TOTAL ACREAGE: 9± Acres

GENERAL PLAN: Rural Residential (RR)

ZONING: Rural Residential (RR:1)

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: 3rd District (John Haschak)

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS

STAFF PLANNER: SAM VANDY VANDEWATER

BACKGROUND

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Boundary Line Adjustment to reconfigure three (3) legal parcels and a Minor Subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 lots of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33± and 1.74± net acres and a remainder of 2.79± acres. The boundary line adjustment will occur before the subdivision is completed as the parcel must be rearranged before they can be subdivided.

SITE CHARACTERISTICS: The subject parcels gain access from North Road and are located in the Long Valley Fire Protection District, but are under a state responsibility area. Regarding utilities, the parcels are located in the Laytonville Water District, though would utilize septic systems for wastewater, and are supplied electricity by PG&E. The parcels have a mixed biology due to previous uses on the parcels, which include industrial operations a timber mill and wood burning. There is a variety of vegetation ranging from barren ground to grasses to tree stands and the terrain of the parcels is mostly flat. The parcels are located north and west of a small wetland/pond. There are some existing structures on the parcel, including a number of residences, as well as a fair amount of random materials.

RELATED ON-SITE APPLICATIONS: The proposed project was the site of a timber mill that included incineration of wood; however, these uses occurred before the adoption of a zoning code, though a use permit was later obtained (**U26-91**). The subject parcels were created by a minor subdivision (**MS27-94**).

SURROUNDING LAND USE AND ZONING:

	GENERAL PLAN	ZONING	LOT SIZES (ACRES)	USES
NORTH	Rural Residential (RR)	Rural Residential (RR:1)	County Road	County Road
EAST	Rural Residential (RR)	Rural Residential (RR:1)	1±	Residential
SOUTH	Rural Residential (RR)	Rural Residential (RR:1)	1±	Residential
WEST	Rural Residential (RR)	Rural Residential (RR:1)	10±	Residential

PUBLIC SERVICES:

Access: North Road (CR 319E)
 Fire District: Long Valley Fire Protection District
 Water District: Laytonville Water District
 Sewer District: None
 School District: Laytonville Unified School District

AGENCY COMMENTS: On July 12, 2011, project referrals were sent to the following responsible or trustee agencies with jurisdiction over the Project. Their submitted recommended conditions of approval are contained in Exhibit A of the attached resolution. Any comment that would trigger a project modification or denial are discussed in full as key issues in the following section.

REFERRAL AGENCIES	COMMENT
Department of Transportation	Comment
Environmental Health	Comment
Building Inspection	Comment
Assessor	No Response
Air Quality Management District	Comment
Archaeological Commission	No Response
Sonoma State University	Comment
CalFire	Comment
Department of Fish and Wildlife	No Response
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Comment
Army Corps of Engineers	No Response
County Addressor	No Comment
Laytonville MAC	No Comment
Laytonville Water District	Comment
Long Valley Fire Protection District	Comment

KEY ISSUES

- 1. General Plan and Zoning Consistency:** The subject parcels have a General Plan Land Use Designation of Rural Residential (RR) as defined by Chapter 3: Development Element of the Mendocino County General Plan. The Rural Residential classification is intended,

"The RR classification is intended to encourage local small scale food production (farming) in areas which are not well suited for large scale commercial agriculture, defined by present or potential use, location, mini-climate, slope, exposure, etc. The Rural Residential classification is not intended to be a growth area, and residences should be located as to create minimal impact on agricultural viability.

General Uses: Residential uses, agricultural uses, cottage industries, residential clustering, public facilities, public services, conservation and development of natural resources, utility installations."

The applicant requests the approval of a subdivision of the subject parcel into three parcels. The General Plan Land Use Designation of Rural Residential (RR) supports this subdivision by allowing for a higher density in an area close to the Laytonville thus allowing for further community development.

The proposed project is also consistent with the Rural Residential (RR:1) zoning district, as defined by the Mendocino County Code (MCC). Chapter 20.048.005 of the MCC defines Rural Residential as a district,

"intended to create and enhance residential areas where agricultural use compatible with a permanent residential use is desired. Typically the "R-R" District would be applied to rural or semi-rural areas where urban levels of service are not available and where large lots are desired."

The proposed project is consistent with the zoning district as the subdivision entails each parcel adhering to the minimum parcel size of one (1) acre.

2. Division of Land Regulations: The project was reviewed by the Mendocino County Subdivision Committee on April 10 and May 9, 2019 at which time the Subdivision Committee recommended conditional approval of the proposed minor subdivision to the Planning Commission per the required findings found in MCC 17-48.5. No conflicts with the County Division of Land Regulations were identified.


3. Environmental Protection: An Initial Study for the proposed project was completed in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). There are no significant impacts to the environment identified which would result from the project, thus a Negative Declaration was prepared. It is noted in the Initial Study that the proposed subdivision could result in some impacts due to future development; however these were considered to be less than significant impacts.

RECOMMENDATION

By resolution, adopt a Negative Declaration and grant Minor Subdivision MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017 for the Project, as proposed by the applicant, based on the facts and findings and subject to the conditions of approval.

8-2-2019

DATE

 FOR
SAM VANDEWATER
PLANNER II


Appeal Period: 10 Days
Appeal Fee: \$1,616.00

ATTACHMENTS:

- | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|
| A. Location Map | I. Adjacent Owner Map |
| B. Aerial Map | J. Fire Hazards Map |
| C. Topographical Map | K. Wetlands Map |
| D. Tentative Map | L. Soils Map |
| E. BLA Existing Map | M. Important Farmland Map |
| F. BLA Proposed Map | N. Water District Map |
| G. Zoning Map | O. Miscellaneous Map |
| H. General Plan Map | |


RESOLUTION AND CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL (Exhibit A):

[NEGATIVE DECLARATION] Initial Study available online at:
<http://www.co.mendocino.ca.us/planning/meetings.htm>



CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

- Major Towns & Places
- Major Roads
- Major Rivers
- Highways



THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

LOCATION MAP
 ATTACHMENT A




NORTH ROAD 319E

LAKESIDE DRIVE 319B


CASE: MS 2011-0002
OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
APN: 014-210-72, 73
APLCT: John Foster
AGENT: Jim Ronco
ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

Public Roads




THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

AERIAL IMAGERY
ATTACHMENT B



CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville


-  Named Rivers
-  Public Roads
-  Private Roads



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
 CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 40 FEET

THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES


ATTACHMENT C



R/W FOR ROAD PURPOSES
 PER: 1) BK. 274, PG. 334 M.C.R.
 2) BK. 274, PG. 468 M.C.R.
 3) BK. 315, PG. 234 M.C.R.

NOTES

1. INDICATES EXISTING POWER POLE
2. SITE LOCATION IS 1101, 1155, & 1221 NORTH RD. LAYTONVILLE, CA 95454
3. COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, RESTRICTIONS AND EASEMENTS RECORDED 9/11/47 BK. 228, PG. 17, M.C.R.
4. NOTE, TOPOGRAPHY TAKEN U.S.G.S. QUAD MAP. AREA OF SUBDIVISION IS BASICLY FLAT. NO CONTOURS PASS THROUGH AREA OF SUBDIVISION. INTERPOLATED SPOT ELEVATION ARE GIVEN AROUND EXTERIOR OF PARCELS TO BE SUBDIVIDED.



HAY

FILE NAME	FOSTER LI
SCALE	60 Ft/In
JOB	


CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

NO SCALE

TENTATIVE MAP

THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

ATTACHMENT D




CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

NO SCALE


THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

BLA EXISTING LOTS
 ATTACHMENT E




CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

 Zoning Districts
 Public Roads




**THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES**

ZONING DISPLAY MAP
 ATTACHMENT G



CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

 General Plan Classes




GENERAL PLAN CLASSIFICATIONS


ATTACHMENT H

THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

BOUNDARIES COMPLETE BY: [unreadable]




CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville



THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES


ADJACENT PARCELS
 ATTACHMENT I



LONG VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville


-  Very High Fire Hazard
-  High Fire Hazard
-  Moderate Fire Hazard
-  County Fire Districts




FIRE HAZARD ZONES & RESPONSIBILITY AREAS
 STATE RESPONSIBILITY AREA

THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES


ATTACHMENT J



CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville




THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES




CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

- Naturally Occurring Asbestos
- Eastern Serpentine Inclusions
- Eastern Study Soil Types
- Western Study Soil Types




THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES




CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

- Urban & Built-Up Land (D)
- Rural Residential & Rural Commercial (R)
- Grazing Land (G)
- Non-Ag & Natural Vegetation (nv)



THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES


IMPORTANT FARMLAND
 ATTACHMENT M



LAYTONVILLE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT


CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

 County Water Districts




THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

**WATER DISTRICTS
 ATTACHMENT N**



CASE: MS 2011-0002
 OWNER: FOSTER, John & Myrna
 APN: 014-210-72, 73
 APLCT: John Foster
 AGENT: Jim Ronco
 ADDRESS: 1155 North Road, Laytonville

-  Cemetery Lots
-  State Parks
-  Supervisory Districts 2010
-  Laytonville MAC
-  Existing Wireless Sites



THIS MAP AND DATA ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.
 DO NOT USE THIS MAP TO DETERMINE LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES

Resolution Number _____

County of Mendocino
Ukiah, California

SEPTEMBER 5, 2019

MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017 – JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
MENDOCINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING A NEGATIVE
DECLARATION AND GRANTING A MINOR SUBDIVISION

WHEREAS, the applicant, JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER, filed an application for a minor subdivision with the Mendocino County Department of Planning and Building Services to subdivide a 9± acre parcel, which will be created by boundary line adjustment B_2011-0017, to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel, located 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN 014-210-72, -73, -74).; General Plan RR; Zoning RR:1; Supervisorial District 3; (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, a Negative Declaration was prepared for the Project and noticed and made available for agency and public review on August 9, 2019 in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the State and County CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with applicable provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on, September 5, 2019, at which time the Planning Commission heard and received all relevant testimony and evidence presented orally or in writing regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project. All interested persons were given an opportunity to hear and be heard regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has had an opportunity to review this Resolution and finds that it accurately sets forth the intentions of the Planning Commission regarding the Negative Declaration and the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission makes the following findings;

1. **General Plan and Zoning Consistency Findings:** The subject parcel has a General Plan Land Use Designation of Rural Residential (RR) and the Project is consistent with the General Plan definition. Additionally, the subject parcel lies within the Zoning District of Rural Residential with a, and the Project is consistent with the zoning districts per Sections 20.048 of the Mendocino County Code.
2. **Division of Land Regulations:** The Project is consistent with Chapter 17 of the Mendocino County Code, Division of Land Regulations.
3. **Environmental Protection Findings:** The CEQA initial study completed by staff identified the Project to have less than significant to no impact on the environment, and any concerns are adequately addressed through the conditions of approval so that no adverse environmental impacts will result from the Project; therefore a Negative Declaration is adopted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby adopts the Negative Declaration. The Planning Commission certifies that the Negative Declaration has been completed, reviewed, and considered, together with the comments received during the public review process, in compliance with CEQA and State and County CEQA Guidelines, and finds that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby grants the requested Minor Subdivision, subject to the Conditions of Approval in Exhibit "A", attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary as the custodian of the document and other material which constitutes the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the County of Mendocino Planning and Building Services, 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, CA 95482.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission action shall be final on the 11th day after the date of the Resolution unless an appeal is taken.

I hereby certify that according to the Provisions of Government Code Section 25103 delivery of this document has been made.

ATTEST: JAMES F FEENAN
Commission Services Supervisor

By: _____

BY: BRENT SCHULTZ
Director

CHAIR MARILYN OGLE
Mendocino County Planning Commission

EXHIBIT A

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL MINOR SUBDIVISION - MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017

SEPTEMBER 5, 2019

APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Boundary Line Adjustment to reconfigure three (3) legal parcels and a Minor Subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 lots of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33± and 1.74± net acres and a remainder of 2.79± acres.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL: For a Minor Subdivision which has been approved according to the Mendocino County Code, the following "Conditions of Approval" shall be completed prior to filing a Parcel Map.

ALL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL MUST BE MET PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS FROM DATE OF APPROVAL, UNLESS RENEWED PURSUANT TO THE MENDOCINO COUNTY CODE.

****All of the below conditions are predicated on the successful completion of Boundary Line Adjustment B_2011-0017.****

Aesthetics

1. The following note shall be placed on the Parcel Map:

All future external lighting, whether installed for security, safety or landscape design purposes, shall be shielded, downcast or shall be positioned in a manner that will not shine or allow light glare to exceed the boundaries of the parcel on which it is placed.

Air Quality

2. A notation shall appear on the Parcel Map:

Future development of building site(s), access roads or driveways may be subject to the grading requirements and drainage control measures identified in the Conditions of Approval

3. A note shall appear on the Parcel Maps:

The access road, driveway and interior circulation routes be maintained in such a manner as to insure minimum dust generation subject to Air Quality Management District Regulation 1 Rule 430. All grading must comply with Air Quality Management District Regulations Rule 430. Any rock material, including natural rock from the property, used for surfacing must comply with Air quality Management District regulations regarding asbestos content.

Biological Resources

4. This entitlement does not become effective or operative and no work shall be commenced under this entitlement until the California Department of Fish and Game filing fees required or authorized by Section 711.4 of the Fish and Game Code are submitted to the Mendocino County Department of Planning and Building Services. Said fee of \$ 2404.75 OR CURRENT FEE shall be made payable to the Mendocino County Clerk and submitted to the Department of Planning and Building Services prior to September 15, 2019, (within 5 days of the end of any appeal period). Any waiver of the fee shall be on a form issued by the Department of Fish and Game upon their finding that the project has "no effect" on the environment. If the project is appealed, the payment will be held by the Department of Planning and Building Services until the appeal is decided. Depending on the outcome of the appeal, the payment will either be filed with the

County Clerk (if the project is approved) or returned to the payer (if the project is denied). Failure to pay this fee by the specified deadline shall result in the entitlement becoming null and void. **The applicant has the sole responsibility to insure timely compliance with this condition.**

Cultural Resources

5. A note shall appear on the Parcel Map:

In the event that archaeological resources are encountered during development of the property, work in the immediate vicinity of the find shall be halted until all requirements of Chapter 22.12 of the Mendocino County Code relating to archaeological discoveries have been satisfied.

6. Those "Recommendations" outlined in the Archaeological Report dated October 26, 2012, prepared by Jay Flaherty, Registered Professional Archaeologist s shall be complied with. In the event that additional archaeological resources are encountered during development of the property, work in the immediate vicinity of the find shall be halted until all requirements of Chapter 22.12 of the Mendocino County Code relating to archaeological discoveries have been satisfied.

Geology & Soils

7. The subdivider shall **acknowledge in writing** to the Department of Planning and Buildings Services that all grading activities and site preparation, at a minimum, shall adhere to the following "Best Management Practices". The applicant shall submit to the Department of Planning and Building Services an acknowledgement of these grading and site preparation standards.
- a. That adequate drainage controls be constructed and maintained in such a manner as to prevent contamination of surface and/or ground water, and to prevent erosion.
 - b. The applicant shall endeavor to protect and maintain as much vegetation on the site as possible, removing only as much as required to conduct the operation.
 - c. All concentrated water flows, shall be discharged into a functioning storm drain system or into a natural drainage area well away from the top of banks.
 - d. Temporary erosion and sediment control measures shall be established and maintained until permanent protection is established.
 - e. Erosion control measures shall include, but are not limited to, seeding and mulching exposed soil on hill slopes, strategic placement of hay bales below areas subject to sheet and rill erosion, and installation of bioengineering materials where necessary. Erosion control measures shall be in place prior to October 1st.
 - f. All earth-moving activities shall be conducted between May 15th and October 15th of any given calendar year unless wet weather grading protocols are approved by the Department of Planning and Building Services or other agencies having jurisdiction.
 - g. Pursuant to the California Building Code and Mendocino County Building Regulations a grading permit will be required unless exempted by the Building Official or exempt by one of the following:
 - An excavation that (1) is less than 2 feet (610 mm) in depth or (2) does not create a cut slope greater than 5 feet (1524 mm) in height and steeper than 1 unit vertical in 1½ units horizontal (66.7% slope)

- A fill less than 1 foot (305 mm) in depth and placed on natural terrain with a slope flatter than 1 unit vertical in 5 units horizontal (20% slope), or less than 3 feet (914 mm) in depth, not intended to support structures, that does not exceed 50 cubic yards (38.3 m³) on any one lot and does not obstruct a drainage.

Fire Hazards

8. The subdivider shall comply with those recommendations in the **California Department of Forestry** letter of March 18, 2011 (CalFire# 47-11) or other alternatives as acceptable to the Department of Forestry. Written verification shall be submitted from the **Department of Forestry** to the Department of Planning and Building Services that this condition has been met to the satisfaction of the **Department of Forestry**.
9. The subdivider shall comply with those recommendations of the **Long Valley Fire District** (letter dated July 25, 2011) or other alternatives as acceptable to the **Fire District**. Written verification shall be submitted from **Fire District** to the Department of Planning and Building Services that this condition has been met to the satisfaction of the **Fire District**.

Hydrology & Water Quality

10. The applicant shall provide the Division of Environmental Health adequate advance written notice (minimum of 15 days) of the date and time any field soil testing procedures for any proposed on-site sewage systems to allow the Division of Environmental Health staff to be present for soil testing. This shall apply to proposed parcels 3 and 4.
11. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site evaluation report (DEH FORM # 42.04) for parcel(s) 3 and 4 completed by a qualified individual demonstrating compliance with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Basin Plan Policy for On-site Waste Treatment and Disposal and Mendocino County Division of Environmental Health's Land Division Requirements (DEH FORM # 26.09).
12. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site evaluation report (DEH FORM # 42.04) for a replacement system for the existing structure(s) located on parcel(s) 1, 2, and the remainder completed by a qualified individual demonstrating compliance with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Basin Plan Policy for On-site Waste Treatment and Disposal and Mendocino County Division of Environmental Health's Land Division Requirements (DEH FORM # 26.09).
13. The applicant shall submit to the Division of Environmental Health an acceptable site development plan at a scale of not more than 1 inch = 50 feet showing all adjacent parcels on one sheet completed by a qualified individual showing the location and dimensions of the initial sewage disposal system(s), 100% replacement area(s), acceptable setback distances to water wells and other pertinent setback distances which may impact project site development.
14. The applicant shall either (1) submit to the Division of Environmental Health, a letter from the district(s) or agency(s) stating that water and/or sewer services (and main extensions, where required) have been installed to the satisfaction of the district or agency to serve each lot in said subdivision and connected to the system providing the service(s) and has been accepted by the district or agency for maintenance by said district or agency (Mendocino County Code 17.55 & 17.56); or (2) the applicant shall submit a letter to the Division of Environmental Health from the district(s) or agency(s) stating that engineered improvement plans for the future installation of services (and main extensions, where required) for each lot and the connection to the system providing the service are acceptable to the district, including maintenance of the system by the district and the applicant shall submit a letter to Division of Environmental Health from the County Engineer stating that performance bonds or other adequate surety have been secured, to the satisfaction of the county engineer, to cover the cost of the installation of services (and main

extensions, where required) for each lot and the connection to the system providing the service per Mendocino County Code Chapter 17 Article VIII.

Land Use & Planning

15. That verification be received by a licensed civil engineer or surveyor that each parcel created is a minimum of 40,000 square feet net

Population & Housing

16. The subdivider shall pay into the County Affordable Housing Trust Fund (per County Code Section 20.238.035) an amount equaling 2% of the County-wide median sales price of a single family residence as determined by the County Assessor. Said fee shall be collected prior to the recording of the Parcel Map.

Transportation

17. There shall be provided an access easement of 60 feet in width on Vista Del Lago Road from a publicly maintained road, North Road (CR 319E), to southern terminus of Parcel 2. There shall be provided an access easement of 40 feet in width on Vista Del Lago Road from southern terminus of Parcel 2 to terminus of Vista Del Lago Road. There shall be provided an access easement of 40 feet in width on Madrone Court from Vista Del Lago Road to each parcel created. Documentation of access easement(s) shall be provided to the Mendocino County Department of Transportation for their review prior to final approval.
18. There shall be dedicated to the County by Parcel Map (or granted by Grant Deed of a Unilateral Agreement is filed) ten (10) feet along the south side of North Road (CR 319E) along the frontage of Parcel No.1 and Parcel No. 2 to provide for the ultimate improvement of the County Road.
19. If a Parcel Map is filed, all easements of record shall be shown on the parcel map. All utility lines shall be shown as easements with widths as shown of record or a minimum of ten (10) feet, whichever is greater.
20. Private roads and public utility easements shall be offered for dedication.
21. All natural drainage and water courses shall be considered as easements. Minimum width shall be twenty (20) feet, or to the high water level plus five (5) feet horizontal distance, whichever is greater. If a Parcel Map is filed, such easements shall be shown on the final parcel map.
22. Subdivision road within the access easement shall be improved in accordance with County of Mendocino Road and Development Standard drawing A10H the following minimum standards: Twenty Two (22) foot wide, eight (8) inch minimum thickness Class II Aggregate Base rock road with the access easement. The road improvements shall be constructed with Class II Aggregate Base per CalTrans Standards and Specifications 2018.
23. Install or replace drainage culverts where necessary. New or replaced culverts shall be a minimum of 18 inches in diameter and designed by a California Registered Civil Engineer to accommodate the 10-year storm event with a headwater to depth ratio of no greater than 1.0. In no case shall a new or replaced culvert be smaller than an upstream culvert.
24. A standard private road approach shall be constructed according to Mendocino County Road Standard Drawing A51B from Vista Del Lago Road onto North Road (CR 319E), to be paved with asphalt concrete or comparable surfacing to the adjacent road. Concrete driveways shall not be permitted.
25. If Parcel 2 continues to take access onto North Road (CR 319E) from the existing driveway along the eastern property line, documentation shall be provided to Mendocino County Department of

Transportation a minimum forty (40) foot total reciprocal easement with adjacent property owner. Additionally, existing driveway shall be upgraded to a standard private road approach in accordance with Mendocino County Road Standard drawing A51B, to be paved with asphalt concrete or comparable surfacing to the adjacent road. Concrete driveways shall not be permitted.

26. There shall be a 40-foot radius turnaround constructed within a 50-foot radius easement at the terminus of the access easement of Vista Del Lago Road to the satisfaction of the Mendocino County Department of Transportation per Mendocino County Standards Drawing A16. This turnaround shall be constructed with Class II Aggregate Base per CalTrans Standards and Specifications 2018.
27. There shall be a 40-foot radius turnaround constructed within a 50-foot radius easement OR 20-foot wide by 60-foot hammerhead turnaround within a 40-foot wide by 80-foot long easement at the terminus of the access easement of Madrone Court to the satisfaction of the Mendocino County Department of Transportation per Mendocino County Standards Drawing A16 and A17. This turnaround shall be constructed with Class II Aggregate Base per CalTrans Standards and Specification 2018.
28. If approval of the tentative map is conditioned upon certain improvements being made by the subdivider, the subdivider shall notify the Mendocino County Department of Transportation when such improvements have been completed. Prior to the filing of the parcel map, required road improvement must be inspected and approved by the Department of Transportation. Current inspection fees apply.
29. Any proposed work with County rights-of-way requires obtaining an encroachment permit from the Mendocino County Department of Transportation.

Subdivision Conditions

30. Building/Development Setbacks indicating Front/Rear/Side to all property boundaries (existing and proposed) and roadway/easements shall be designated on the Parcel Map.
31. Pursuant to Government Code Section 66492 & 66493, prior to recordation of the Parcel Map, the subdivider must: (1) Obtain a Certificate from the Mendocino County Tax Collector stating that all current taxes and any delinquent taxes have been paid and; (2) Pay a security deposit (or bond) for taxes that are a lien, but not yet due and payable.
32. The permit shall become effective on the 11th day after Planning Commission approval and shall expire and become null and void at the expiration of two years after the effective date except where use of the property in reliance on such permit has been initiated prior to its expiration.
33. The use and occupancy of the premises shall be established and maintained in conformance with the provisions of Division I of Title 20 of the Mendocino County Code.
34. The application, along with supplemental exhibits and related material, shall be considered elements of this permit, and that compliance therewith is mandatory, unless an amendment has been approved by the Planning Commission.
35. This permit shall be subject to the securing of all necessary permits for the proposed development from County, State and Federal agencies having jurisdiction.
36. The applicant shall secure all required permits from the Building Inspection Division of the Department of Planning and Building Services for all construction, structural modifications, establishment of signs and compliance with handicapped accessibility for the facility if required.

37. This permit shall be subject to revocation or modification upon a finding of any one or more of the following:
- a. The permit was obtained or extended by fraud.
 - b. One or more of the conditions upon which the permit was granted have been violated.
 - c. The use for which the permit was granted is conducted so as to be detrimental to the public health, welfare or safety, or to be a nuisance.
 - d. A final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction has declared one or more conditions to be void or ineffective, or has enjoined or otherwise prohibited, or the operation of one or more such conditions.

Section I Description Of Project.

DATE: July 26, 2019

CASE#: MS_2011-0002/B_2011-0017

DATE FILED: 5/6/2011

OWNER: JOHN & MYRNA FOSTER

APPLICANT: JOHN FOSTER

AGENT: JIM RONCO

REQUEST: Boundary Line Adjustment of three (3) legal parcels and minor subdivision of an 8.93± acre parcel to create 4 parcels of 1.08±, 1.12±, 1.33±, and 1.74± acres and a 2.79± remainder parcel.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration

LOCATION: 0.2± west of Laytonville town center, on the south side of North Road (CR 319E), 400± feet west of its intersection with Branscomb Road (CR 429), located at 1163, 1155, and 1221 North Rd., (APN 014-210-72, -73, -74).

STAFF PLANNER: SAM VANDEWATER

Section II Environmental Checklist.

"Significant effect on the environment" means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change, may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15382).

Accompanying this form is a list of discussion statements for all questions, or categories of questions, on the Environmental Checklist (See Section III). This includes explanations of "no" responses.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems
	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance	

An explanation for all checklist responses is included, and all answers take into account the whole action involved, including off site as well as on-site; cumulative as well as project level; indirect as well as direct; and construction as well as operational impacts. The explanation of each issue identifies (a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance. In the checklist the following definitions are used:

"Potentially Significant Impact" means there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant.

"Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" means the incorporation of one or more mitigation measures can reduce the effect from potentially significant to a less than significant level.

"Less Than Significant Impact" means that the effect is less than significant and no mitigation is necessary to reduce the impact to a lesser level.

"No Impact" means that the effect does not apply to the Project, or clearly will not impact nor be impacted by the Project.

INITIAL STUDY/ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: This section assesses the potential environmental impacts which may result from the project. Questions in the Initial Study Checklist are stated and answers are provided based on analysis undertaken.

<u>I. AESTHETICS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

a-c) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located on a scenic state highway, thus there is no potential for the project to damage any scenic resources or have adverse effects on any scenic vistas. Additionally, the project will not require the removal of any natural elements such as trees or rocks, thus there is no impact to those resources. As the proposed project is a subdivision of a former industrial site into a residential neighborhood, there is no visual character or site quality that would be impacted, even with potential future development.

d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the proposed project itself would not entail any additional sources of light, potential development in the future could create new sources of light that may have an impact on day and nighttime views. However, a standard condition is included to ensure impacts remain at less than significant levels.

<u>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-e) **No Impact:** The land on which the proposed project will be located is considered to be "Urban & Built-up Land" and "Rural Residential and Rural Commercial" per the *Important Farmland Map Attachment*, thus there will be no conversion of Prime, Unique, or state farmland to a non-agricultural use. Additionally, the lack of important or unique farmland means there is little to no conflict with any Williamson Act contract or other agricultural use. Furthermore, there are no nearby parcels that are within a Williamson Act contract. The project does not entail the removal of any tree species and it is not considered part of a 'forestland', thus there is no impact to timber resources.

III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-e) **No Impact:** The proposed project was referred to the Mendocino County Air Quality Management District, who noted the subject parcels are located in a naturally occurring asbestos area in their response dated July 21, 2011; however a condition has been included to help reduce any impacts the project and potential future development might have on the subject parcels. The proposed project does not entail any activity that would create substantial pollution, or damage air quality in any way, thus the project would

not conflict with any air quality plan, nor would it violate any air quality standards. Subsequently, there will be no considerable net increase of pollutants due to the project. Aside from potential future development that would be standard for such a zoning district, the project would not expose any sensitive receptors to pollution, nor would any objectionable odors be created by the project.

<u>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-c) **Less Than Significant Impact:** One amphibian species is identified by CNDDDB as potentially existing on the subject parcels. Additionally, there are a number of nearby aquatic habitats, including a wetland and riparian area, though they are not located on the parcel. However, the Department of Fish and Wildlife provided no response to referrals and the parcels were home to an industrial operation that included a timber mill and wood burning, thus the site has been significantly degraded in the past. Due to these findings, the impacts are considered to be less than significant.

d-f) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located near any identified habitat or wildlife species requiring conservation, thus there is no potential for any substantial adverse impacts on habitats location directly on the parcel. Future development is not expected to be substantial and any new construction will be required to meet setbacks from property lines, providing a buffer from development and the off-site wetlands. Additionally, there are no conservation plans, policies, or ordinances with which the project conflicts, thus there will be no impacts to such protections. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife fee of \$2,404.75 will be required within five (5) days of the end of any action taken on the project.

<u>V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-d) **No Impact:** The proposed project was referred to Sonoma State University, who responded with comments on July 21, 2011 recommending a survey. The letter was brought to the Archaeological Commission at their September 14, 2011 hearing where they concurred and required a survey be completed for the property. The survey was submitted to and reviewed by the Mendocino County Archaeological Commission at their December 12, 2012 meeting and the survey recommendations were accepted as conditions to the project.

<u>VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

a-e) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located near any terrain that would expose any people or structures to any substantial adverse geological effect, or that would allow for soil erosion or loss of topsoil. While the propose project is located in an area of naturally occurring asbestos, the project would not trigger any issues such as a landslide or liquefaction, thus there is no impact in this regard. Furthermore, the soil on which the proposed project is located is not identified as expansive or incapable of supporting a septic system, thus these issues are considered to have no impact.

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not entail any activities that would generate any greenhouse gases, thus there is no impact in this regard. There are no identified plans, policies, or regulations that would be violated through the any of the project activities, thus there is considered to be no impact.

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a-b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed project was formally home to an industrial site that included a timber mill and wood burning. It was determine that parcels would need to be tested to ensure humans would not be exposed to hazardous materials and waste. The parcels were cleaned up and an Environmental Site Assessment was conducted by Trans Tech Consultants in 2016. The final results of the Environmental Site Assessment, dated July 26, 2016, determined that only two testable chemicals and/or elements (cadmium and octachlorodibenzodioxin) were present at the site, but their levels were less than significant and would not be hazardous. Thus, these findings are considered to be less than significant as well.
- c) **No Impact:** While the closest school (Laytonville High School) is located roughly 4,000 feet way from the subject location, the project does not propose any activities that would emit any hazardous emissions or use any hazardous materials, thus there is no impact in this regard.
- d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** As noted in questions A and B of this section, the parcel underwent an Environmental Site Assessment and was determined to not be the location for any hazardous material.
- e-f) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located within an airport land use plan, the closest airport being Round Valley Airport, roughly 13 miles to the east, thus there are no concerns regarding airstrips.
- g) **No Impact:** The proposed project gains access from North Road and allows for on-site parking, thus there will no physical interference with an emergency response or evacuation plan.
- h) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed project is located within the Long Valley Fire Protection District, the fire station being within a half mile from the subject parcels, thus the impact is considered to be less than significant. Additionally, a CalFire station is located roughly 1.5 miles north on US Hwy. 101.

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
k) Result in an increase in pollutant discharges to receiving waters considering water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity and other typical stormwater pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
l) Have a potentially significant impact on groundwater quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
m) Impact aquatic, wetland or riparian habitat?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, f) **No Impact:** The project will not violate any water quality standards or degrade water quality itself as there are no aspects of the proposed subdivision that would affect water quality.
- b) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not require the use of any water resources, thus no substantial depletion of water resources will occur. Any future development will be connected to the Long Valley Water District, thus subject to their requirements and resource capacities.
- c-e) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not entail alterations to any drainage pattern that would result in erosion or siltation of the site or neighboring properties, thus this concern is considered to have no impact. Furthermore, it is unlikely the proposed project will alter any drainage pattern in terms of stream alterations as there is no stream or river located on the subject parcel. A riverine appears to flow through the parcels per the *Wetland Map* Attachment, however, aerial images and lack of responses from the Regional Water Quality Control Board would indicate this designation is dated and no longer applies to the project. Lastly, the project is not located in an area with a stormwater drainage system, thus there would be no issue with regards to capacity.

- g-h) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located within a flood plain, thus there is considered to be no impact in terms of these issues. Additionally, the subject parcel is far enough away from the coastline that no ocean related flooding would occur.
- i-j) **No Impact:** The project is not located within a dam inundation zone, tsunami area, or area subject to potential mudflow, thus there are no impacts with regards to these issues.
- k-l) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not entail any large water discharging that would result in pollutant discharges or any activities that would significantly impact groundwater quality, thus there is considered no impact in terms of these issues.
- m) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located within or near any aquatic, wetland, or riparian habitats, thus there is no potential for the project to have an impact on these types of environments.

<u>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located within any established community, thus the project would not physically divide any established community.
- b) **No Impact:** There are no land use plans, policies, or regulations, established by a jurisdictional agency to mitigate environmental impacts, with which the proposed project conflicts.
- c) **No Impact:** There are no identified habitats or natural community conservation plans for the project location, thus there is no possibility for the project to conflict with any such plans.

<u>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located on or within any identified mineral resource lands, thus it will not result in the loss of any available mineral resource.

<u>XII. NOISE.</u> Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision and subsequent development will not exceed standard noise levels. Additionally, the proposed subdivision will not expose persons to excessive groundborne vibration as there are no nearby businesses or activities to create such a disturbance.
- c-d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the subdivision itself would not increase any ambient noise levels, an increase in intensity, such as new residences, could permanently and/or temporarily increase ambient noise levels. However, the zoning district allows the development of single-family residences thus the impact is considered less than significant.
- e-f) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located near any airport zone or within any airport land use plan, thus it would not be exposing people to any level of noise regarding aircrafts or airstrips.

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-c) **No Impact:** As the proposed project does not entail any new homes or housing infrastructure, it is unlikely that direct substantial population growth would occur. Though the proposed project is a subdivision, the number of proposed parcels and their subsequent development would not entail substantial population growth. This lack of substantial development also means that no housing or people will be displaced because of the proposed project.

<u>XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Medical Services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not create any barrier for public service delivery as the parcel gains access from North Road in Laytonville, a publicly maintained road, thus there is no impact.

<u>XV. RECREATION.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located near state or regional parks, thus it would be unlikely that recreational facilities would deteriorate from usage due to the proposed project. Additionally, the proposed project does not entail the creation of any recreational spaces, thus it would be unnecessary to expand recreational facilities.

<u>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-f) **No Impact:** There are no identified policies, plans, regulations, or programs which would be violated by the proposed project, thus these concerns are considered to be less than significant. The project does not entail any obstructions to emergency access. Additionally, the proposed project would not alter any movement patterns, nor increase traffic hazards to others within the surrounding area. A condition has been included to ensure the applicant works with the Mendocino County Department of Transportation (DoT), as well as conditions prescribed by DoT to ensure compliance with their standards.

<u>XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is a resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				
--	--	--	--	--

a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project was referred to Sonoma State University, who responded with comments on July 21, 2011 recommending a survey. The letter was brought to the Archaeological Commission at their September 14, 2011 hearing where they concurred and required a survey be completed for the property. The survey was submitted to and reviewed by the Mendocino County Archaeological Commission at their December 12, 2012 meeting and the survey recommendations were accepted as conditions to the project.

<u>XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not entail the use of any water, though future development does, thus no wastewater will be created. Future development would not exceed the capacity of any treatment facility as the proposed project is not located within a wastewater sanitation district. Conditions have been included to ensure on-site wastewater removal through septic systems or appropriate alternatives.

c) **No Impact:** The project will not create substantial enough stormwater to establish a new drainage facility, thus there is considered to be no impact. There is sufficient undeveloped land on and around the subject parcel that stormwater drainage will not be an issue.

d) **No Impact:** A condition from the Department of Environmental Health has been included to ensure adequate water supplies are available.

e-g) **No Impact:** The proposed project is not located within any wastewater district, thus there are no impacts with regard to these issues. Additionally, there is a transfer station and solid waste disposal site within a mile proximity, thus there will be no impacts with regards to solid waste. As the project is a subdivision, it will not generate any solid waste and therefore no impact is anticipated.

<u>XVIV. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **No Impact:** As noted in previous sections, the proposed project has mostly no impact on the quality of the environment and it would not substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, nor would the project eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. Any impacts that would occur are considered to be less than significant and will be diminished through the Conditions of Approval.
- b) **No Impact:** The proposed project will not create any cumulative impacts on the surrounding area and any impact that would occur is considered to be less than significant. Furthermore, the small impacts that will occur are almost exclusively during post-subdivision development.
- c) **No Impact:** Due to the insignificant impacts on the environment, as indicated through this Initial Study, the proposed project would not have an effect on the environment that would have adverse impacts on human beings.

DETERMINATION: On the basis of this initial evaluation:


- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

08-02-2019

DATE

 For

SAM VANDEWATER
PLANNER II