County of Mendocino



MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT

COMMUNITY SERVICES AND SUPPORTS, WORKFORCE EDUCATION AND TRAINING, AND PREVENTION AND EARLY INTERVENTION COMPONENTS PLAN

DRAFT

2011-2012 ANNUAL UPDATE

JUNE 24, 2011

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BRANCH



COUNTY CERTIFICATION

County: Mendocino	Components Included: ☐ CSS ☐ WET ☐ CF ☐ TN ☐ PEI ☐ INN
County Mental Health Director	Project Lead
Name: Stacey Cryer	Name: Jennifer Kennedy, MHSA Coordinator
Telephone Number: (707) 472-2799	Telephone Number: (707) 467-6016
E-mail: cryers@co.mendocino.ca.us	E-mail: kennedyj@co.mendocino.ca.us
Mailing Address: Mendocino County Health and Human Services Age Mental Health Branch 860 N. Bush Street Ukiah, CA 95482	ency
I hereby certify that I am the official responsible for the and for said county and that the County has complied this annual update/update, including all requirements component. Mental Health Services Act funds are an Institutions Code section 5891 and Title 9 of the Calif Supplant.	d with all pertinent regulations, laws and statutes for for the Workforce Education and Training d will be used in compliance with Welfare and
This annual update has been developed with the part sections 3300, 3310, subdivision (d), and 3315, subdivirculated for 30 days to stakeholders for review and mental health board of commission. All input has been appropriate.	ivision (a). The draft FY 2011/12 annual update was comment and a public hearing was held by the loca
The County agrees to participate in a local outcome ecomponent.2	evaluation for the PEI program(s) identified in the PE
The County Mental Health Director approves all Capi projects.	tal Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN)
The County has complied with all requirements for the Capital Facilities segment of the CFTN componer	
The costs of any Capital Facilities renovation projects consistent with what a prudent buyer would incur.	s in this annual update are reasonable and
The information provided for each work plan is true a	nd correct.
All documents in the attached FY 2011/12 annual upon	date/update are true and correct.
Stacey Cryer	6/24/2011
Mental Health Director/Designee (PRINT)	Signature Date

Public Hearing only required for annual updates.

² Counties with fewer than 100,000 residents, per Department of Finance demographic data, are exempt from this requirement.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE

EXHIBIT B

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

County:	Mendocino	30-day Public Comment period dates: May 24 – June 22, 2011
		•
Date:	May 24, 2011	Date of Public Hearing (Annual update only): June 22, 2011

Instructions: Utilizing the following format please provide a brief description of the Community Program Planning and Local Review Processes that were conducted as part of this annual update/update per Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 3300 and 3315.

Counties may elect to attach the Mental Health Board meeting minutes in which the annual update was discussed if it provides additional information that augments the responses to these questions.

Community Program Planning

1. Briefly describe the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process for development of all components included in the FY 2011/12 annual update/update. Include the methods used to obtain stakeholder input.

Mendocino County's approach to engaging all stakeholders in its process of developing its MHSA FY 11/12 Update has included the following:

- 1. MHSA Stakeholder Committee and Steering Subcommittee Meetings
- 2. MHSA Program/Fiscal Management Group
- 3. Mental Health Board input through regularly scheduled meetings
- 4. County Mental Health website information
- 5. Public posting of the Annual Update through the 30 day Local Review Process
- 6. Mental Health Board Public Hearing on the Annual Update
- 1. MHSA Stakeholder Committee and Steering Subcommittee Meetings

The MHSA Stakeholder Committee meets as needed and provides input into the development of the MHSA Annual Update. The MHSA Stakeholder Committee is comprised of stakeholder representatives (e.g., consumers, non-governmental providers, County Mental Health staff, Mental Health Board members, and interested parties from the public). The Stakeholder Committee meets regularly to review the progress of the MSHA activities, gather input from those receiving and providing services, and to discuss methods for integrating the vision and values of the MHSA into the broader Mental Health services provided by in County. In the third and fourth quarters of Fiscal Year 2010/2011, the MHSA Stakeholder Committee assembled a Steering Subcommittee to meet monthly during the intervening months when the full MHSA Stakeholder Committee is not convened.

2. MHSA Program/Fiscal Management Group

This group is comprised of Health & Human Services Agency (HHSA) staff who provide oversight to the delivery of MHSA services, the MHSA Coordinator, and fiscal staff. This group meets regularly and is responsible for budget administration, plan development and implementation, and ongoing evaluation of the delivery of MHSA services.

- 3. Mental Health Board input through regularly scheduled meetings
 The Mental Health Board meets monthly and receives public comment on agenda and non-agenda items related to general mental health services.
- County Mental Health website posting

An electronic copy of the Update was posted on the County website with an announcement of the public review and comment period, as well as the Public Hearing information. The website posting provided contact information to allow input on the plan in person, by phone, or by mail. In addition, the Mental Health Board Public Hearing information was posted to encourage public input.

5. Public posting of the Update throughout the 30 day Local Review Process
Hard copies of the Update are available for public review at locations across the county, including key service delivery sites, mental health clinics, organizational providers, and wellness centers. The Annual Update will be posted for 30 days from May 24 through June 22, 2011.

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

- 6. Mental Health Board/Mental Health Services Act Stakeholders Committee Joint Meeting Mendocino County will hold a Public Hearing to obtain input from interested stakeholders. The Public Hearing will be held during the Mental Health Board/MHSA Stakeholders Joint meeting on Wednesday, June 22, 2011, location and time to be determined.
- 2. Identify the stakeholder entities involved in the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process. (i.e., name, agency affiliation, population represented, age, race/ethnicity, client/family member affiliation, primary language spoken, etc.)

The following stakeholders have had participated in the MHSA CPP. In addition to the list below, many consumers/clients and consumer family members participated in the CPP.

- Policy Council for Youth and Children
- NAMÍ Mendocino
- Redwood Children's Services
- Health and Human Services Agency Advisory Board
- Alliance for Rural Community Health
- Laytonville Family Resource Center
- Legal Services of Northern California
- Consolidated Tribal Health Project, Inc.
- Homeless Services Continuum of Care
- Action Network
- Mendocino County Office of Education
- Mendocino County Youth Project
- Round Valley Indian Health Center
- DSS/MH Older Adult System of Care
- Safe Passage Family Resource Center
- Pinoleville Vocational Rehabilitation
- Anderson Valley Resource Center
- First 5 Mendocino County
- MCAVHN
- Mendocino County Probation Department
- Ford Street Project
- Ukiah/Coast Community Center
- Ukiah Senior Center
- Community Care/Area Agency on Aging
- Willits Community Services and Food Bank
- Manzanita Services, Inc.
- 3. If consolidating programs or eliminating a program/project, please include how the stakeholders were involved and had the opportunity to participate in the decision to eliminate the program/project.

No programs are proposed for elimination in this Update. While MHSA services are being reorganized, all age groups will continue to be served. Some case management services for special populations (e.g. homeless, older adults) will be integrated into general mental health services. Stakeholders participated in the proposed reorganization through the public review and comment process.

Local Review Process

4. Describe methods used to circulate, for the purpose of public comment, the annual update or update.

This MHSA FY 11/12 Annual Update will be posted for a 30-day public review and comment period from May 24 through June 22, 2011. An electronic copy will be posted on the County website with an announcement of the public review and comment period, as well as the Public Hearing information. The website posting provides contact information to allow input on the plan in person, by phone, and by mail. A hard copy of the Update will be distributed to all members of the Mental Health Board, MHSA Executive Leadership Team, MHSA Stakeholder Committee, and staff. Hard copies of the Annual Update will be placed at locations across the county, including county mental health clinics, wellness centers, and

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT B

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

organizational providers. The Annual Update is available to clients and family members at all of these sites and on the County website.

A Public Hearing is scheduled for June 22, 2011. Time and location of public hearing to be determined.

Stakeholder questions and comments about the Update are welcomed in writing or at the Public Meeting.

5. Include substantive comments received during the stakeholder review and public hearing, responses to those comments, and a description of any substantive changes made to the proposed annual update/update that was circulated. The County should indicate if no substantive comments were received.

To be completed upon close of Local Review Process.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE

EXHIBIT C

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

County:	Mendocino
Date:	May 24, 2011

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, WET, PEI, and INN components during FY 2009-10. NOTE: Implementation includes any activity conducted for the program post plan approval.

	CSS, WET, PEI, and INN					
1.	Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.					
	Please check box if your county did NOT begin implementation of the following components in FY 09/10: ☐ WET ☐ PEI ☐ INN					

Community Services and Supports:

The implementation of this component is progressing well as Mendocino County strengthens its ability to deliver wraparound services to its Full Service Partnerships. In Fiscal Year 2009/2010, there were no key differences from the services described in the County's approved Plan nor major challenges to the provision of the following programs:

The Children and Family Services Program included services to children of all ages, with a focus on the underserved 0-5 age group and underserved Latino and American Indian Children. In particular, progress was made towards serving this population with the parent partner program, broad screening and assessment of very young children, family respite services, and therapeutic services to children and families in tribal and Latino communities.

The Transition Age Youth program continued several programs to build resiliency and promote recovery including the TAY Wellness Program in which 24 Full Service Partners were provided with wraparound services. Six of these youth were provided with intensive supportive housing. The TAY program included clinical coaching services at the community college and provided therapeutic and clinical services including those for the County's bicultural, bilingual, and remotely-located community through contracts with Action Network and Tapestry Family Services.

The Adult System of Care (ASOC) focused on the provision of services through 37 Full Service Partnerships who received an array of services to support the recovery from severe and chronic mental illness (SPMI). These services were provided by a network of providers including the HOPE (Homeless Outreach Program Expansion) Team and Adult Mental Health case management team. The County served ASOC clients through three wellness and recovery centers located in Ukiah, Willits, and Fort Bragg with programming such as the AVE art program. The Client Empowerment Advocate provided an essential bridge for clients as the County continued to promote MHSA recovery principles. For those adults clients within the criminal justice system or at risk of incarceration, the forensic program provided much needed mental health services.

The Older Adult System of Care provided services for the improvement of the aging population's quality of life. Services were provided to 12 Full Service Partners. Bicultural and bilingual outreach and engagement were provided through contracts with Round Valley Tribal Health, Nuestra Casa, and Consolidated Tribal Health Project. Senior Peer Counseling provided weekly visits to over 20 OASOC clients.

Workforce Education and Training:

The Department of Mental Health approved the County's Workforce Education and Training (WET) component plan in August 2009. Due to staffing restrictions, the County was not able to begin implementation of the WET plan until February 2010. The County conducted a recruitment for the WET Coordinator in 3rd Quarter of fiscal year 2009/2010 and hired the WET Coordinator in the 4th quarter. For the remaining three months of Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the WET Coordinator initiated the recruitment plan for the WET Clerical Support and convened the WET work group and established the subcommittees for the following topics: scholarship and loan assistance; training for treatment of co-occurring disorders; electronics resources.

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

2. During the initial Community Program Planning Process for CSS, major community issues were identified by age group. Please describe how MHSA funding is addressing those issues. (e.g., homelessness, incarceration, serving unserved or underserved groups, etc.)

Please find below a listing of the major community issues identified through Mendocino County's community planning process. These issues were to be the focus of MHSA services as indicated in the MHSA CSS Three Year Program and Expenditure Plan developed in 2005. The ways in which Mendocino County addressed each community issue during fiscal year 2009/2010 is also indicated.

Age Group	Community Issues	MHSA-funded Program Addressing Issues
Children and Families	 Inability to be in a mainstream school environment/school failure Involvement in child welfare and juvenile justice systems/out-of-home placement Peer and family problems 	Strong parent partner program provided to children and families. Culturally and linguistically appropriate therapeutic services provided to children and their families. Respite services for families.
Transition Age Youth	 Homelessness Inability to manage independence/work Involvement in child welfare and juvenile justice systems 	TAY Wellness program provided wraparound services and housing for 6 Full Service Partners. Wrap around services also provided to an additional 18 Full Service Partners including independent living skills and vocational development.
Adults	 Homelessness Inability to manage independence Inability to work Involuntary care/ institutionalization/ incarceration 	Recovery and wellness centers provided counseling, life skills training, meals, financial support, and assistance accessing related resources to build independence and vocational readiness. Full Service Partnerships provide wrap around services including access to housing opportunities. Forensic mental health services provided for incarcerated clients.
Older Adults	 Homelessness Inability to manage independence/ involuntary care/ isolation 	Full Service Partnerships provided wrap around services including access to housing opportunities. Senior Peer Counseling program addressed isolation and enabled seniors to receive care in their home to maintain independence.

OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 09/10 ACTIVITIES

PEI							
1. Provide the following information on the total number of individuals served across all PEI programs (for prevention, use estimated #):							
Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			
2. Provide the name of the PEI program selected for the local evaluation ³ . N/A							
	PEI Statev	vide Training, T	echnical Assis	tance, and Cap	acity Building	(TTACB)	
Please provide the following information on the activities of the PEI Statewide Training, Technical Assistance, and Capacity Building (TTACB) funds.							
Activity Name; Brief Description; Estimated Funding Amount ⁴ Ta			Tar	get Audience/I	Participants	5	
1.							
2.							
3.							
4							

³ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement.

⁴ Provide the name of the PEI TTACB activity, a brief description, and an estimated funding amount. The description shall also include how these funds support a program(s) that demonstrates the capacity to develop and provide statewide training, technical assistance and capacity building services and programs in partnership with local and community partners via subcontracts or other arrangements to assure the appropriate provision of community-based prevention and early intervention activities.

based prevention and carly intervention activities.

Frovide the names of agencies and categories of local partners external to mental health included as participants (i.e., K-12 education, higher education, primary health care, law enforcement, older adult services, faith-based organizations, community-based organizations, ethnic/racial/cultural organizations, etc.) and county staff and partners included as participants.

EXHIBIT E

County: Mendocino Date: 5/24/2011

	MHSA Funding					
	css	WET	CFTN	PEI	INN	Local Prudent Reserve
A. FY 2011/12 Component Allocations						
1. Published Component Allocation	\$1,943,800			\$388,600		
2. Transfer from FY 11/12 ^{a/}	\$402,268					\$402,268
3. Adjusted Component Allocation	\$1,541,532					
B. FY 2011/12 Funding Request						
1. Requested Funding in FY 2011/12	\$2,478,000			\$971,199		
2. Requested Funding for CPP						
3. Net Available Unexpended Funds						
 a. Unexpended Funds from FY 09/10 Annual MHSA Revenue and Expenditure Report b. Amount of Unexpended Funds from FY 09/10 	\$1,320,454			\$213,502		
spent in FY 10/11 (adjustment)	\$1,320,454			\$213,502		
c. Unexpended Funds from FY 10/11	\$639,300			\$569,425		
d. Total Net Available Unexpended Funds	\$639,300	\$0		\$569,425	\$0	
4. Total FY 2011/12 Funding Request	\$1,838,700	\$0	\$0	\$401,774	\$0	
C. Funds Requested for FY 2011/12						
Unapproved FY 06/07 Component Allocations						
2. Unapproved FY 07/08 Component Allocations						
3. Unapproved FY 08/09 Component Allocations						
4. Unapproved FY 09/10 Component Allocations ^{b/}				\$13,174		
5. Unapproved FY 10/11 Component Allocations ^{b/}	\$297,168			\$388,600		
6. Unapproved FY 11/12 Component Allocations ^{b/}	\$1,541,532					
Sub-total Sub-total	\$1,838,700	\$0	\$0	\$401,774	\$0	
7. Access Local Prudent Reserve						
8. FY 2011/12 Total Allocation ^{c/}	\$1,838,700	\$0	\$0	\$401,774	\$0	

County: Mendocino		No funding	is being requested for this p	program.
Program Number/Name:	Children and Family Service	es		
Date: May 24, 2011	1			
	SECTION I: PROGR	AM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REP	ORT FOR FY 09/10	
☐ This program did not exis	_			
A. List the number of individual	duals served by this program du	ring FY 09/10, as applicable.		
Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individuals GSD	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only
Child and Youth	0	53	33	N/A
AY				
dults				
Older Adults				
otal	0			
otal Number of Individuals Se	erved (all service categories) by the	Program during FY 09/10: 86		
	duals served by this program du			

Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	To be	English		LGBTQ	
African American	Determined	Spanish		Veteran	
Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
Native American		Mandarin			
Hispanic		Tagalog			
Multi		Cambodian			
Unknown		Hmong			
Other		Russian			
		Farsi			
		Arabic			
		Other			

C. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

In Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the Children and Family Services Program included services to children of all ages, with a focus on the underserved 0-5 age group and underserved Latino and American Indian Children. In particular, progress was made towards serving this population with the following programs:

<u>Parent Partner Program:</u> Mendocino's Parent Partner Program provided services through Family Resource Centers in rural communities. Bicultural/bilingual parent partners link with our Family Resource Centers and tribal community to provide services to families in more remote areas.

Broad Screening and Assessment of Very Young Children (ages 0-5):

In partnership with First 5, Mendocino County implemented Raise & Shine, a screening and assessment program for all 0-5 year olds. Children referred for mental health services who do not have insurance or private resources are eligible through MHSA dollars for treatment.

Family Respite Services:

Family Respite Services are coordinated through a staff (partially funded with MHSA) located at Social Services and familiar with resources throughout the county. All families seeking respite are referred to this central resource. CSOC and/or MHSA funds can be used as a last resort for costs.

Therapeutic Services to Tribal and Latino Communities:

Bilingual and bicultural services to our remote, tribal and Latino communities were provided through contracts with organization providers. Through a contract with Consolidated Tribal Health, a Licensed Clinical Social Worker provided services to tribal members and families throughout the county. This LCSW also provides services to individuals and groups incarcerated at our county jail.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

For fiscal year 2009/2010, Mendocino County did not encounter any major challenges with the implementation of this program resulting from the fluctuation in MHSA or overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12						
1) Is there a change in the service population to be served?	Yes	No 🗌				
2) Is there a change in services?	Yes	No 🗌				
3) a) Complete the table below:						
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change						
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes 🗌	No 🗌				
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆				
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.						
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is considered Revised Previously Approved. Please complete an Exhibit F1.						
A. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program d	uring FY 11/12	, as applicable.				
Age Group # of individuals # of indiv		# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only			
Child and Youth			•			
TAY						
Adults						
Older Adults						
Total						
Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Pr	ogram during F	Y 11/12:				

B. Answer the following	questions about this program
-------------------------	------------------------------

1. Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.

Mendocino County is not requesting funding for this program. Services to this population are being provided through the System Transformation Program. Therefore, completion of this section is not required.

- 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information:
 - a) Names of the programs being consolidated.
 - b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs.
 - c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.

N/A

3. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.

N/A

County:	Mendocino		oxtimes No funding is being requested for this program.		
Program N	lumber/Name:_	Transition Age Youth			
Date:	May 24, 2011				
SECTION I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10					

D. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable.

☐ This program did not exist during FY 09/10.

Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individuals GSD	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only
Child and Youth				
TAY	24	40	65	\$10,532
Adults				
Older Adults				
Total	24			
Total Number of Individuals Se	rved (all service categories) by the	Program during FY 09/10: 129		

E. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable.

Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	To be	English		LGBTQ	
African American	Determined	Spanish		Veteran	
Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
Native American		Mandarin			
Hispanic		Tagalog			
Multi		Cambodian			
Unknown		Hmong			
Other		Russian			
		Farsi			
		Arabic			
		Other			

F. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

In Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the Transition Age Youth program continued several programs to build resiliency and promote recovery including the following:

TAY Wellness Program:

Through a contract with Redwood Children's Services, the 24 Full Service Partners were provided with wraparound services to:

- Develop healthy relationships with family, peers, mentors, employers, teachers, and counselors;
- Access employment, education, and career or vocational development;
- Obtain housing in supportive, clean, affordable, and productive environments;
- Access mental and physical health care;
- Learn healthy strategies for coping with stress and setbacks; and
- Be in control of their own lives.

Of these 24 youth, 6 were provided with intensive supportive housing. The balance of 18 FSP youth were provided housing support, individual therapeutic services, case management and opportunities for peer mentoring and to participate in activities at the community resource center, Arbor on Main. Full service partners also received Mental Health rehabilitation services through County Mental Health staff.

Therapeutic and Clinical Services:

Services to Full Service Partners were also provided through a contract with a Marriage and Family Therapist and through County Mental Health Staff. Bicultural and bilingual family-based therapeutic services were provided to our remote areas through contracts with Action Network and Tapestry Family Services.

Clinical Coach:

Support to meet educational goals was also available through the college coach contracted to provide 15 hours per week to students or prospective students.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

For fiscal year 2009/2010, Mendocino County did not encounter any major challenges with the implementation of this program resulting from the fluctuation in MHSA or overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12									
1) Is there a change in the se	1) Is there a change in the service population to be served?				No 🗌				
2) Is there a change in service	es?		`	Yes	No 🗌				
3) a) Complete the table belo	ow:								
FY 10/11 funding F	Y 11/12 funding F	Percent Change]						
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding approved amount, or ,	requested outside the	± 25% of the previou	usly	Yes 🗌	No 🗌				
For Consolidated Progra ± 25% of the sum of the			side the	Yes 🗌	No 🗌				
c) If you are requesting ar explanation below.	c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.								
NOTE: If you answered YES t	o any of the above que	stions (1-3), the pro	gram is cor	nsidered Re	evised Previously Ap	proved. Pleas	se complete an Exhibit F1.		
B. List the estimated number	er of individuals to be	served by this pro	ogram duri	ng FY 11/1	2, as applicable.				
Age Group	# of individua FSP	als # 0	of individu GSD	ıals	# of individ	luals	Cost per Client FSP Only		
Child and Youth									
TAY									
Adults									
Older Adults									
Total									
Total Estimated Number of I	ndividuals Served (all s	ervice categories) b	y the Progr	am during f	Y 11/12:				

B. Answer the following questions about this program.
Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.
Mendocino County is not requesting funding for this program. Services to this population are being provided through the System Transformation Program. Therefore, completion of this section is not required.
 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
N/A.
4. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.
N/A.

County: Mendocino No funding is being requested for this program.								
Program Number/Name:	Adult System of Care							
Date: May 24, 2011								
	SECTION I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10							
☐ This program did not exis	t during FY 09/10.							
G. List the number of individual	duals served by this program du	ring FY 09/10, as applicable.						
Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individuals GSD	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only				

65

135

Total 37
Total Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Program during FY 09/10: 237

37

Child and Youth

Older Adults

TAY Adults

H. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable.

Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White	To be	English		LGBTQ	
African American	Determined	Spanish		Veteran	
Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
Native American		Mandarin			
Hispanic		Tagalog			
Multi		Cambodian			
Unknown		Hmong			
Other		Russian			
		Farsi			
		Arabic			
		Other			

I. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

For Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the Adult System of Care focused on the provision of services through these programs:

<u>Full Service Partnerships (FSPs)</u>: 37 FSPs received an array of services to support the recovery from severe and chronic mental illness (SPMI) with the priority population of the traditionally unserved and underserved populations of American Indian and Latino ethnicity. Services included crisis support, transportation to medical appointments, and linkage to counseling and additional services including access to temporary housing, food, linkage to counseling, support for life skills development, education, and managing finances, and other services appropriate according to individual client needs. These services were provided by a network of providers including the HOPE (Homeless Outreach Program Expansion) Team and Adult Mental Health case management team.

<u>Wellness and Recovery Centers:</u> There are center currently located in Ukiah, Willits, and Fort Bragg, the major population centers in the County. Through a contract with the community-based organization Manzanita Services, Inc., the centers in Ukiah and Willits provided services for Full Service Partners and other adults and older adults with serious mental illness. Services included linkage to counseling, life skills training, meals, financial support, and assistance obtaining resources outside of the mental health system and the opportunity to participate in other recovery and support programming like the AVE art program.

<u>Client Empowerment Advocate</u>: Provided through a contract, the Advocate worked with clients to promote MHSA recovery principles including the advocacy for client-driven services. The Advocate assisted clients with coordination and communication between the Mental Health Branch, Community Based Organizations, mental health consumers, and other community members.

<u>Forensic Mental Health Program</u>: Services were provided to individuals with mental illness who are incarcerated, on supervised release, on parole or probation, or at-risk of incarceration, as well as being homeless or at risk of becoming homeless. Priority is given to women of American Indian or Latino descent.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

For fiscal year 2009/2010, Mendocino County did not encounter any major challenges with the implementation of this program resulting from the fluctuation in MHSA or overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12									
1) Is there a change in the service population to be served? Yes No No									
2) Is there a change in services? Yes \(\scale= \) No \(\scale= \)									
3) a) Complete the table below:									
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change									
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,									
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?									
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.									
NOTE: If you answered YES to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is considered Revised Previously Approx	ved. Please complete an Exhibit F1.								
C. List the estimated number of individuals to be served by this program during FY 11/12, as applicable.									
Age Group # of individuals # of individuals # of individuals FSP GSD OE	S Cost per Client FSP Only								
Child and Youth									
TAY									
Adults									
Older Adults									
Total									
Total Estimated Number of Individuals Served (all service categories) by the Program during FY 11/12:									

B. Answer the following questions about this program.	
3. Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.	
Mendocino County is not requesting funding for this program. Services to this population are being provided through the System Transformation Program. Therefore, completion of this section is not required.	
 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs. 	
N/A.	
5. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.	_
N/A.	

County: Mendocino			────────────────────────────────────					
Program Number/Name:_	Older Adult System	of Care						
Date: May 24, 2011								
	SECTION I	: PROGR	AM SPECIFIC PRO	OGRESS REPO	ORT FOR F	FY 09/10		
☐ This program did not exist								
J. List the number of individu	uals served by this pro	gram dur	ring FY 09/10, as a	ipplicable.				
Age Group	# of individual FSP	s	# of indiv GSD		# of individuals OE		Cost per Client FSP Only	
Child and Youth							•	
TAY								
Adults								
Older Adults	12		22			40	\$12,638	
Total								
Total Number of Individuals Serv	red (all service categorie	es) by the	Program during FY	′ 09/10: 74				
K. List the number of individu	uals served by this pro	gram dur	ring FY 09/10, as a	ipplicable.				
Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary	y Language	# of Ind	ividuals	Culture	# of Individuals	
White	To be	English				LGBTQ		
African American	Determined	Spanish	1			Veteran		
Asian		Vietnam	nese			Other		
Pacific Islander		Canton						
Native American		Mandar						
Hispanic		Tagalog						
Multi		Cambo	dian					

Hmong

Russian Farsi Arabic Other

Multi Unknown

Other

L. Answer the following questions about this program.

1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.

For Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the Older Adult System of Care focused on the provision of services designed to bring improvement to the quality of life for seriously mentally ill of this aging population:

<u>Full Service Partnerships (FSPs)</u>: 12 FSPs received an array of services to support the recovery from severe and chronic mental illness (SPMI) with the priority population of the traditionally unserved and underserved populations of American Indian and Latino ethnicity. Services included crisis support, transportation to medical appointments, and linkage to counseling and additional services including access to temporary housing, food, linkage to counseling, support for life skills development, education, and managing finances, and other services appropriate according to individual client needs. These services were provided by the Older Adult System of Care in coordination with community based organizations dedicated to working in our two most prevalent underserved ethnic groups, American Indians and Latinos, will bridge some of these gaps identified within these communities.

<u>Bicultural and Bilingual Outreach and Engagement:</u> Through a contract with Round Valley Tribal Health, four events were planned to promote mental wellness among tribal elders in this remote region of Mendocino County. Nuestra Casa, a community based organization serving the Latino population provided outreach and engagement services to assist isolated Latino seniors. Consolidated Tribal Health Project also conducted outreach and engagement services to the Native American community.

<u>Senior Peer Counseling:</u> This program provides training by qualified staff and a private geriatric specialist to individuals who make weekly visits to over 20 OASOC clients. The program has successfully improved the mental health status of many frail, home-bound older adults by the outreach of the peer counselors.

2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.

For fiscal year 2009/2010, Mendocino County did not encounter any major challenges with the implementation of this program resulting from the fluctuation in MHSA or overall mental health funding.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12									
1) Is there a change in the	1) Is there a change in the service population to be served?								
2) Is there a change in ser-	vices?		Yes	No 🗌					
3) a) Complete the table b	elow:								
FY 10/11 funding	FY 11/12 funding	Percent Change]						
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,				No 🗌					
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?				No 🗌					
c) If you are requesting explanation below.	an exception to the ±	25% criteria, please pro	ovide an						
NOTE: If you answered YE	NOTE: If you answered YES to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is considered Revised Previously Approved. Please complete an Exhibit F1.								
D. List the estimated nun	nber of individuals to	be served by this pro	ogram during FY	11/12, as applicable.					
Age Group	# of indiv		of individuals GSD	# of individual	S Cost per Client FSP Only				
Child and Youth									
TAY									
Adults									
Older Adults									
Total									
Total Estimated Number of	ot Individuals Served (all service categories) b	y the Program dur	ing FY 11/12:					

B. Answer the following questions about this program.
4. Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.
Mendocino County is not requesting funding for this program. Services to this population are being provided through the System Transformation Program. Therefore, completion of this section is not required.
 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
N/A.
6. If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.
N/A.

County: <u>Mendocino</u>				ding is bein	g request	ed for this progra	ım.
Program Number/Name:_	System Transforma	tion Program	: Ages 0 – 6	0+			
Date: May 24, 2011							
	27274						
	SECTION	I: PROGRAM S	SPECIFIC PRO	GRESS REP	ORI FOR F	-Y 09/10	
☑ This program did not exist	during FY 09/10.						
M. List the number of individu	ials served by this pro	ogram during F	V 09/10 as a	nnlicable			
W. List the number of marvior	dais screed by this pro	ogram daring r	1 05/10, 45 4	ррпсавіс.			
Age Group	# of individuals FSP		# of indivi		# 0	of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only
Child and Youth							
TAY							
Adults							
Older Adults							
Total							
Total Number of Individuals Serv	ved (all service categorie	es) by the Progi	ram during FY	09/10:			
		<u>_</u>					
N. List the number of individu	uals served by this pro	ogram during F	Y 09/10, as a	pplicable.			
	<u> </u>						
Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Lan	guage	# of Inc	lividuals	Culture	# of Individuals
White		English				LGBTQ	
African American		Spanish				Veteran	
Asian		Vietnamese				Other	
Pacific Islander		Cantonese					
Native American		Mandarin					
Hispanic		Tagalog					
Multi		Cambodian					
Unknown		Hmong					
Other		Russian					
		Farsi					
		Arabic					
		Other					

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D1

Ο.	Answer the following questions about this program.
	1. Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10 including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities.
	N/A
	2. Describe any key differences and any major challenges with implementation of this program as a result of the fluctuation in MHSA funding and overall mental health funding.
	N/A.

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12									
1) Is there a change in the ser	vice population to be served?		Yes	No 🗵					
2) Is there a change in service	s?		Yes	No 🛚					
3) a) Complete the table below	W:								
1,111,845	7 11/12 funding Percent C 2,478,000 Percent C	+44.9%	Yes ⊠	No □					
approved amount, or ,	equested dataide the ± 20 % of	the previously	100 🔼	140					
± 25% of the sum of the p	ms, is the FY 11/12 funding requoreviously approved amounts? exception to the ±25% criteria, p		Yes	No 🗆					
The FY 10/11 funding requested for the System Transformation Program of \$1,111,845 represented an estimated five (5) months of budget. Therefore, the application of the +/-25% rule is not appropriate for this partial year budget.									
NOTE: If you answered YES to	any of the above questions (1-	3), the program is c	onsidered Rev	ised Previously Approved. Ple	ase complete an Exhibit F1.				
E. List the estimated numbe	r of individuals to be served b	y this program du	ring FY 11/12,	as applicable.					
Age Group	# of individuals FSP	# of individ	duals	# of individuals OE	Cost per Client FSP Only				
Child and Youth	4	55		34	\$18,585				
TAY	25	70		43	\$18,585				
Adults	30	112		67	\$18,585				
Older Adults	9	43		25	\$18,585				
Total	68	280		169					
Total Estimated Number of In	dividuals Served (all service cat	egories) by the Pro	gram during F	Y 11/12: 517					
	,	· · ·	- v						

B. Answer the following questions about this program.

5. Provide a description of your previously approved program that includes the array of services being provided. Also provide information about targeted age group, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken by the population to be served.

Beginning with an MHSA update process in February of 2010, Mendocino County Mental Health Branch began to transform the way in which mental health services are provided as well as how they are perceived.

The purpose of this restructuring is to better serve consumers with severe mental illness and severe emotional disturbances while addressing significant funding reductions. Instead of separate programs, the restructuring strategies will promote system transformation and integration of comprehensive services across the lifespan. The integration of all programs including Community Services and Supports promote long term sustainability and leveraging of existing resources to make the entire system more efficient.

Underpinning the integration of services must be outcomes promoting both the improved mental health and recovery of the consumer and the quality and efficiency of the service system. In partnership with the community stakeholders, Mendocino County will develop a common set of outcomes, recognizing that they will vary among age groups. These system quality measures will be used to assess program efficiency, quality and consumer satisfaction.

The Mental Health Branch has developed several goals for fiscal year 2011/2012:

- Create a service delivery system that provides a health care home that treats the entire person.
- Integrate primary care with behavioral health.
- Participate in pilot projects with Federally qualified and rural health centers.
- Reduce stigma with mental health treatment.
- Continue to work with organizational providers to transform service delivery.
- Develop relationships with new partners.
- Position the County to be eligible for new funding opportunities.
- Explore regional opportunities for service delivery.
- Provide outreach, engagement and information about mental health services and access to services to consumers, schools, families with children, remote rural areas and the coast, and county staff and community partners.

The transformed system's key elements, based on collaborative and coordinated planning, include the following:

Recovery-oriented, client-driven Services

- Closely work with the consumer to address their mental health and physical health needs.
- Promote shared decision making and problem solving.
- Maintenance and promotion of linkages to family members (as defined by the consumer) and the community.
- Retool Drop-in/Wellness Centers with focus on:
 - Wellness and Recovery Services to support return to everyday life.
 - Peer support and mentoring.
 - Training for consumers to discover their purpose and passion as well as to meet educational and employment goals.

Intensive Care Management

- Expansion of at least 25 additional full-service partnership slots to provide intensive "wraparound" support for the seriously mentally ill, who are homeless or most at risk to be homeless, hospitalized, incarcerated or placed out of county in residential facilities.
- Decrease out-of-County placements and increase the percentage of mental health clients living independently within our community.
- Ensure timely follow up of care management within 24 hours post discharge for all acute care discharges (psychiatric and medical).
- Increase access to housing for the most vulnerable clients.

Integrated, Efficient Care

- Finalize the co-location of Alcohol and Other Drugs (AOD) treatment and Mental Health.
- Fully implement restructured crisis services.
- Fully implement managed access to ensure all clients enter the system through the mental health branch for standardized triage and assessment. Screen clients for medical necessity and return clients to services. Enroll clients in appropriate levels of care.
- Develop a coordinated, seamless continuum of care for all age groups with an expanded ability to leverage funding.

Quality Improvement

- Ensure that all contracts have scope of services that include measurement of outcomes and efficient standards to drive cost-effectiveness of services.
- Productivity utilize data reports to monitor and support staff productivity goals.
- Continue the retooling of the Quality Improvement Committee emphasizing data driven solutions to improve access in quality of services.
- Continue the process of moving mental health records to a fully electronic record system.
- Develop a training program for County staff and community partners for best practices (especially for children and geriatric services) and customer service and cultural sensitivity.

Collaboration with Community Partners

- Continue to collaborate with Mendocino County Office of Education (MCOE) in the delivery of mental health services to students.
- Forensic Treatment continue the collaboration with local law enforcement and the parole office to establish forensic services and a re-entry program that reduces recidivism rate and ensures community re-entry.

In addition to full service partnerships and flex funding for each age to achieve optimal outcomes, a brief summary of services by Age Group wholly funded by MHSA follows:

Children and Families

- Action Network to provide bicultural and bilingual therapeutic counseling and parent partner services on the South Coast;
- County to provide Respite Care, Ukiah-based Parent Partner program, and clinical services for early intervention with young children.
- Consolidated Tribal Health to provide Native American Bicultural Clinical Services to Native American reservations, rancherias and tribes affiliated with Consolidated Tribal Health Project. Consolidated Tribal Health to provide annual cross cultural training.
- Tapestry Family Services to provide bicultural and bilingual mental health services to the Latino population.

Transition Age Youth

- Redwood Children's Services to provide TAY Wellness and transitional housing plus;
- County to provide rehabilitation services and clinical services for clients with serious mental illness or emotional disturbance;

<u>Adult</u>

- Manzanita Services to manage Ukiah- and Willits-based wellness and recovery centers;
- County to manage Red House wellness and recovery center in Fort Bragg;
- Barbara Ware to provide recovery and support Art Program.
- 19 Full Service Partnerships and flex funding to achieve optimal outcomes.

Summary of Targeted Population Groups:

Unserved/underserved males and females of all ages who have a Serious Emotional Disturbance or a Serious Mental Illness, or have acute symptoms, will be served within the MHSA System Transformation. Age groups include Children (ages 0-15), Transition Age Youth (ages 16-25), Adults (ages 26-59), and Older Adults (60 and older). Services will be provided to race/ethnicities, with an emphasis on reaching out to Latinos and American Indians in the county. Bilingual/bicultural individuals will be hired, when feasible; organizational providers will also be utilized to meet these needs. Written documentation is available in English and Spanish, our threshold language. Translation services are available in Spanish for our monolingual clients and their families.

As stated, the **System Transformation Program: Ages 0-60+** encompass the entire Mental Health system in Mendocino County. The System Transformation Program consists of specialty mental health services, including assessments, case management, individual and group therapy, other outpatient services, inpatient treatment, and MHSA programs such as Full Service Partnerships, Systems Development services, and Outreach and Engagement activities.

A. Expenditures

- 1. MHSA Client, Family Member, and Caregiver Support Expenditures Expenditures identified in this category include funds for items and activities such as clothing, food, hygiene, travel, transportation, housing vouchers, flex funds, and other support expenditures.
- 2. MHSA General System Development Housing No expenditures have been allocated to this line item.
- 3. Mental Health Personnel Expenditures Expenditures are based on current County Personnel Salary tables. Positions are funded through a combination of MHSA, Realignment, Medi-Cal, and other state and local dollars. The table below details the percentage of Mental Health personnel expenditures supported by each funding source. MHSA CSS funds 23.7% of the personnel expenditures.

Funding Source	Percent of Total			
Realignment	9.1%			
Medi-Cal Managed Care	5.9%			
Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT)	7.5%			
Federal Financial Participation (FFP)	22.8%			
Federal Financial Participation Administration	7.5%			
Mental Health Services Act Fund	23.7%			
Patient Fees	.1%			
All Other ¹	23.4%			
Total	100.0%			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D1

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Community Services and Supports

¹All Other funding sources include reimbursements for services in jail and juvenile hall, public guardian reimbursements, administrative fees charged to community-based organizational providers, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) funds, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)/Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) grants, and Small Counties Emergency Risk Pool (SCERP).

Director, Mental Health Services (1.0 FTE) – This individual plans, organizes, and directs the service programs and activities within the Mental Health branch of the Health & Human Services Agency. This position performs professional level functions which include planning, organizing and directing administrative support activities; providing internal consultation on financial and management issues; coordinating analytical studies addressing various issues; and overseeing information systems matters.

Deputy Directors, Clinical Services (2.0 FTE) – This function is shared by both Deputy Directors: Children's Services (1.00 FTE) and Adult Services (1.00 FTE). The two Deputy Directors provide oversight and leadership to the Mental Health Program. These individuals attend the MHSA planning meetings, stakeholder meetings, and assist in coordination activities with staff and organizational providers.

MHSA Coordinator (1.00 FTE) – This position is responsible for ensuring that mental health services delivered in the MSHA program are focused on individual needs, resulting in independent living and gainful employment. This individual is responsible for coordinating services with the Mental Health staff to promote recovery based services. This individual will also coordinate services for all persons enrolled in the Full-Service-Partnership program to ensure that they receive "whatever it takes" to achieve their goals and outcomes.

Medical Director (1.0 FTE) – This position manages and leads the medical functions of the Mental Health Services department. This position provides medical oversight; enforces health statutes and rules; and provides supervision of physicians and other health professionals.

Psychiatrist (1.0 FTE) – This position provides psychiatric diagnostic and therapeutic service to all age groups, in the mental health clinics and in a jail setting. This individual is responsible for the oversight of medication support services for mental health clients.

Correctional Psychiatric Registered Nurse (1.0 FTE) – This position provides professional psychiatric nursing care to clients in a jail setting.

Nurse Practitioner (1.0 FTE) – This position provides triage and clinical assessment services to mental health clients; obtains accurate physical and mental health information from clients.

Clinical Supervisors (4.55 FTE) – These positions provide direct day-to-day supervision to the Children and Adult Services Team members and the satellite clinic programs.

MH Clinicians I and II (14.7 FTE) – These positions will function as Clinicians responsible for ensuring that crisis and ongoing mental health services delivered to program participants are focused on individual needs. These positions will provide crisis and ongoing service contacts with program participants, family, significant others, and communities (school systems, probation, healthcare, and others). These positions will be advocates for clients and provide assistance to help individuals gain access to needed services. These positions will help the service system to be sensitive to, respectful of, and responsive to the mental health needs of the program participants and promote wellness and recovery activities to help clients achieve positive outcomes.

Program Specialist (2.0 FTE) – These positions provides leadership and support of Mental Health activities and services, giving support to the MHSA Team and coordinates staff to deliver exemplary services. Wellness, recovery, and resiliency skills services are promoted for all clients and their family members/support system.

Mental Health Rehabilitation Specialists (8.8 FTE) – These positions will function as Case Managers and Personal Service Coordinators. These positions will be responsible for providing direct services in a manner that is consistent with the vision and goals of MHSA. In addition, these positions will be responsible for the implementation of outreach and engagement activities and individual and group services. These positions will be assigned to deliver community-based services in a manner that is integrated with existing activities. These positions will also ensure that services are delivered in a manner that embraces the recovery model and promotes client and family voice and choice.

Department Analysts (3.0 FTE) – These positions provide administrative support to Mental Health service activities, including enrolling clients to Full Service Partnership (FSP), submitting all MHSA required data and document to DMH, and tracking flex funds for FSP clients. These individuals also manage the fiscal components of Mental Health and help to account for and budget activities, DMH required cost reports and services.

Department IT Specialist (1.0 FTE) – This position provides data support to the IT Department Analyst. This individual provides technical application and program support related to the department's computer application system.

Account Specialist Supervisor (1.0 FTE) – This position is responsible for providing supervision to the Account Specialist and other administrative and managerial duties related to the support of county and organizational provider staff in delivering services.

Account Specialists II & III (4.8 FTE) – These positions support Mental Health activities through data entry, Medi-Cal billing activities, accounts payable, accounts receivable, and other administrative functions necessary to support the county and organizational provider staff to deliver services.

Human Services Worker (1.0 FTE) – This position provides transportation for clients; accompanies and monitors clients while waiting for appointments, hospital admittance, etc.; provides reception duties, pulls medical files, answers phones, etc. This individual serves as a source of information regarding mental health services and community resources. This position facilitates groups at day treatment and drop-in centers.

Administrative Services Manager (1.0 FTE) – This position is responsible for managing support staff as they perform their administrative and clerical duties.

Support Staff (8.25 FTE) – These positions perform administrative and clinical reception for Mental Health and reconciliation of clinical staff's time reports and service records.

- **4. MHSA Operating Expenditures** Operating expenditures include professional services, translation and interpreter services, travel and transportation expenses, general office expenditures, rent, utilities, equipment, and medication and medication supports.
 - In addition, a portion of CSS funds has been allocated to contracts with several Community Mental Health Providers. These organizational providers will deliver services and supports in collaboration with the County Mental Health Department and in compliance with the principles of the MHSA, as outlined in the Update.
- 5. MHSA Estimated Expenditures when service provider is not known No expenditures have been allocated to this line item.
- 6. MHSA Non-recurring Expenditures No expenditures have been allocated to this line item.
- 7. MHSA Other Expenditures No expenditures have been allocated to this line item.

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D1

2.	 If this is a consolidation of two or more programs, provide the following information: a) Names of the programs being consolidated. b) How existing populations and services to achieve the same outcomes as the previously approved programs. c) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs. 	
N/A.		
7.	If you are not requesting funding for this program during FY 11/12, explain how the County intends to sustain this program.	
N/A.		

CSS FUNDING REQUEST

County: Mendocino Date: 24-May-11

	CSS Programs	FY 11/12 Requested	Estimated MHSA Funds by Service Category				Estimated MHSA Funds by Age Group				
No	o. Name	MHSA Funding	Full Service Partnerships (FSP)	General System Development	Outreach and Engagement	MHSA Housing Program	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
	Previously Approved Programs										
1. 1	1 System Transformation Program: Ages 0 - 60+	\$1,982,400	\$501,547	\$1,183,493	\$297,360		\$396,480	\$495,600	\$792,960	\$297,360	_
2.		\$0									1
3.		\$0									1
4.		\$0									1
5.		\$0									4
6.		\$0									4
7.		\$0									4
8.		\$0									4
9.		\$0									+
10. 11.		\$0 \$0									ł
12.		\$0									ł
13.		\$0									t
14.		\$0									†
15.		\$0									Ì
16. Sub	btotal: Programs ^{a/}	\$1,982,400	\$501,547	\$1,183,493	\$297,360	\$0	\$396,480	\$495,600	\$792,960	\$297,360	Percen
17. Plus up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs		\$297,360									
18. Plus	us up to 10% Operating Reserve	\$198,240									
19. Sub	btotal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$2,478,000									
Ne	New Programs/Revised Previously Approved Programs										
1.		\$0									I
2.		\$0]
3.		\$0									1
4.		\$0									<u> </u>
5.		\$0									ļ
_	btotal: Programs ^{a/}	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percen
	us up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs										#DI\
8. Plus	us up to 10% Operating Reserve										#DI\
	btotal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0									4
10. I O t	tal MHSA Funds Requested for CSS	\$2,478,000									1

a/ Majority of funds must be directed towards FSPs (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3620, subd. (c)). Percent of Funds directed towards FSPs=

25.30%

Additional funding sources for FSP requirement:

County must provide the majority of MHSA funding toward Full Service Partnerships (FSPs). If not, the county must list what additional funding sources and amount to be used for FSPs. [In addition, the funding amounts must match the Annual Cost Report.] Refer to DMH FAQs at http://www.dmh.ca.gov/Prop_63/MHSA/Community_Services_and_Supports/docs/FSP_FAQs_04-17-09.pdf

CSS Majority of Funding to FSPs Other Funding Sources

	CSS	State General Fund	Other State Funds	Medi-Cal FFP	Medicare	Other Federal Funds	Re- alignment	County Funds	Other Funds	Total	Total %
Total Mental Health Expenditures:	\$501,547	\$0	\$125,637	\$380,660	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,007,844	51%

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D2

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

County:	Mendocino	No funding is being requested for this program.
Program Nu	umber/Name:_	Workforce Education and Training Coordination and Support
Date:	May 24, 2011	

SECTION I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10

☐ This program did not exist during FY 09/10.

1. Describe progress on the objectives achieved in this program during FY 09/10 (e.g. administrative and workforce policy support, the provision of financial relief, established partnerships among education and training that are connected to service needs, etc).

The Workforce Education and Training (WET) component plan was approved by Department of Mental Health in August 2009. Due to a hiring freeze, the County was not able to begin implementation of the WET plan until February 2010. The first step in the implementation of the plan consisted of the recruitment and hiring of a WET Coordinator in the 4th quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010. For the remaining three months of Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the WET Coordinator was able to make the following progress on WET component plan objectives:

<u>Staffing:</u> WET Coordinator development and implementation of the recruitment plan for the WET Clerical Support including the development of the Staff Assistant III job description and scope of work; selection of interview panel, questions, and work sample exercise. The WET Staff Assistant III was successfully recruitment at the close of Fiscal Year 2009/2010 and began employment at the start of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.

<u>Work Group and Subcommittees:</u> WET Coordinator convened monthly work group meetings with community stakeholders and parties interested in mental health workforce development. Coordinator assisted the work group in identifying training priorities. The work group established three subcommittees to carry out the each of the actions of the WET component plan. The subcommittees organized include:

- Training for Co-occurring Disorders: Subcommittee met to initiate the planning of trainings related to the identified priority of training for the treatment of co-occurring disorders.
- Scholarship and Loan Assistance: Tasks of this subcommittee were to develop application and interview scoring; develop marketing and outreach plan to priority population of clients/family members, persons of Latin/Native American descent, employees of public mental health system including community partners; recruit screening panel and finalize approval process. Subcommittee initiated these tasks in the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010.
- Electronic Resources: Tasks of this subcommittee were to evaluate existing effectiveness of the county's MHSA webpage; establish objectives for providing web-based WET information to clients, community partners and county staff; determine role of Trilogy's Network of Care as an informational hub for the community. Subcommittee initiated these tasks in the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010.

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12					
Does the work detail or objective of the existing program(s) or activity(s) remain consistent with what was previously approved?	Yes No No				
2) Do the activities and strategies remain consistent with what was previously approved?	Yes No No				
3) a) Complete the table below:					
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change					
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the previously approved amount, ${\bf or},$	Yes No No				
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes No No				
c) If you are requesting an exception to the $\pm 25\%$ criteria, please provide an explanation below.					
Since no funding is being requested for this program, only Section I of Exhibit D2 i					
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is of	onsidered Revised Previously Approved. Please complete an Exhibit F2.				

A. Type of Funding by Category

WET Funding Category	Check the Box that Applies
Workforce Staffing Support	
Training & Technical Assistance	
Mental Health Career Pathway	
Residency & Internship	
Financial Incentive	

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

B. A	Answer the	following	auestions	about this	program.
------	------------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------

1	1. If there have been changes to this program within the scope of what was originally proposed, describe any new objectives, actions, or strategies.
1	N/A
2	 If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, provide the following information: a) Name of the programs. b) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs. c) How the objectives identified in the previously approved programs will be achieved.
1	N/A

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D2

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

C	County:	Mendoci	no		⊠ No fundi	ng is being requested for this progra	m.
F	Program N	umber/Nai	me: Workforce Ed	ucation and Training Colla	borative Partr	nership Training	
	Date:	May 24, 2	2011				
			SEC	TION I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC	PROGRESS R	EPORT FOR FY 09/10	
	This progra	am did not	exist during FY 09/10				
				eved in this program during FY among education and training t		ninistrative and workforce policy support, the ed to service needs, etc).	provision of
clo	se of the fis	cal year, the		able to convene the WET wo		to the hiring of the WET Coordinator in the lablish a subcommittee in order to plan traini	
				SECTION II: PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	FOR FY 11/12	
3)			objective of the existing what was previously a	ng program(s) or activity(s) pproved?	Yes	No 🗌	
4)	Do the acti approved?		rategies remain consis	tent with what was previously	Yes	No 🗌	
3)	a) Comple	ete the table	below:				
	FY 10/1	1 funding	FY 11/12 funding	Percent Change			
		Y 11/12 fund d amount, o i		the ± 25% of the previously	Yes	No 🗌	
			ograms, is the FY 11/1: the previously approve	2 funding requested outside thed amounts?	e Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	, .	re requesting	g an exception to the ±	.25% criteria, please provide a	n		

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

Since no funding is being requested for this	program, only Section I of Exhibit D2	needs to be completed.
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the	above questions (1-3), the program is	considered Revised Previously Approved. Please complete an Exhibit F2.
A. Type of Funding by Category		
WET Funding Category	Check the Box that Applies	
Workforce Staffing Support		
Training & Technical Assistance		
Mental Health Career Pathway		
Residency & Internship		
Financial Incentive		
B. Answer the following questions abou		
2. If there have been changes to this p	orogram within the scope of what was	originally proposed, describe any new objectives, actions, or strategies.
a) Name of the programs.b) The rationale for the decision	ore previously approved programs, proportion to consolidate programs. In the previously approved programs	

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE EXHIBIT D2

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

County:_	Mendocino	No funding is being requested for this program.
Program	Number/Name:_	Scholarship and Loan Assistance in Support of Education Related to Public Mental Health
Date:	May 24, 2011	

SECTION I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10

☐ This program did not exist during FY 09/10.

3. Describe progress on the objectives achieved in this program during FY 09/10 (e.g. administrative and workforce policy support, the provision of financial relief, established partnerships among education and training that are connected to service needs, etc).

The Workforce Education and Training (WET) component plan was approved by Department of Mental Health in August 2009. Due to a hiring freeze, the County was not able to begin implementation of the WET plan until February 2010. The first step in the implementation of the plan consisted of the recruitment and hiring of a WET Coordinator in the 4th quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010. For the remaining three months of Fiscal Year 2009/2010, the WET Coordinator was able to make the following progress on WET component plan objectives:

<u>Staffing:</u> WET Coordinator development and implementation of the recruitment plan for the WET Clerical Support including the development of the Staff Assistant III job description and scope of work; selection of interview panel, questions, and work sample exercise. The WET Staff Assistant III was successfully recruitment at the close of Fiscal Year 2009/2010 and began employment at the start of Fiscal Year 2010/2011.

<u>Work Group and Subcommittees:</u> WET Coordinator convened monthly work group meetings with community stakeholders and parties interested in mental health workforce development. Coordinator assisted the work group in identifying training priorities. The work group established three subcommittees to carry out the each of the actions of the WET component plan. The subcommittees organized include:

- Training for Co-occurring Disorders: Subcommittee met to initiate the planning of trainings related to the identified priority of training for the treatment of co-occurring disorders.
- Scholarship and Loan Assistance: Tasks of this subcommittee were to develop application and interview scoring; develop marketing and outreach plan to
 priority population of clients/family members, persons of Latin/Native American descent, employees of public mental health system including community
 partners; recruit screening panel and finalize approval process. Subcommittee initiated these tasks in the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010.
- Electronic Resources: Tasks of this subcommittee were to evaluate existing effectiveness of the county's MHSA webpage; establish objectives for providing web-based WET information to clients, community partners and county staff; determine role of Trilogy's Network of Care as an informational hub for the community. Subcommittee initiated these tasks in the last guarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010.

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM Workforce Education and Training

SECTION II: PROGRAM D	ESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12			
5) Does the work detail or objective of the existing program(s) or activity(s) remain consistent with what was previously approved?	Yes No No			
6) Do the activities and strategies remain consistent with what was previously approved?	Yes No No			
3) a) Complete the table below:				
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change				
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes No No			
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes No No			
c) If you are requesting an exception to the $\pm 25\%$ criteria, please provide an explanation below.				
Since no funding is being requested for this program, only Section I of Exhibit D2 needs to be completed.				
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is of	considered Revised Previously Approved. Please complete an Exhibit F2.			

A. Type of Funding by Category

WET Funding Category	Check the Box that Applies
Workforce Staffing Support	
Training & Technical Assistance	
Mental Health Career Pathway	
Residency & Internship	
Financial Incentive	

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Workforce Education and Training**

B. Answer the following questions about this pro
--

3.	If there have been changes to this program wit	hin the scope of what wa	as originally proposed, d	describe any new objectives,	actions, or strategies.

N/A

- 4. If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, provide the following information:

 - a) Name of the programs.
 b) The rationale for the decision to consolidate programs.
 c) How the objectives identified in the previously approved programs will be achieved.

N/A

WET FUNDING REQUEST

County: Mendocino County 24-May-11

EXHIBIT E2

	Workforce Education and Training	Estimated MHSA Funds by Service Category		ce Category			
No.	. Name	Requested MHSA Funding	Workforce Staffing Support	Training and Technical Assistance	Mental Health Career Pathway	Residency and Internship	Financial Incentive
	Previously Approved Programs						
1. A	Workforce Staffing Support	\$0	\$0				
2. B	Training and Technical Assistance	\$0		\$0			
3. C	Mental Health Career Pathway Programs	\$0			\$0		
4. D	Residency, Internship Programs	\$0				\$0	
5. E	Financial Incentive Programs	\$0					\$0
6.		\$0					
7.		\$0					
8.		\$0					
9.		\$0					
10.		\$0					
11.		\$0					
12.		\$0					
13.		\$0					
14.		\$0					
15.		\$0					
16. Subto	otal: Programs ^{a/}	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
17. Plus	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs						
18. Plus	up to 10% Operating Reserve						
19. Subto	otal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0					
	New Programs						
1.		\$0					
2.		\$0					
3.		\$0					
4.		\$0					
5.		\$0					
6. Subto	6. Subtotal: WET New Programs ^{a/}		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs						
	up to 10% Operating Reserve						
	otal: New Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0					
10. Tota	I MHSA Funds Requested	\$0					

Note: Previously Approved programs to be expanded, reduced, eliminated and consolidated are considered New.

Revised 12/29/10

County:	Mendocino	
Program Num	lumber/Name: Education, Destigmatization, and Peer Support	
Date:	May 24, 2011	■ Please check box if this program was selected for the local evaluation
	SECTION	I I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10
		penditure Plan was approved on February 25, 2010. While additional planning took place in the last quarter program began in Fiscal Year 2010/2011.

P. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable. (NOTE: For prevention, use an estimated number.)

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT D3**

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Prevention and Early Intervention**

В.	Please com	olete the	following	auestions	about this	program	during	FY 09/1	0.

1.	Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10, including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with
	emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities. Please describe any key differences and major challenges with implementation of this program, if
	applicable.

- 2. Please provide any available data on program outcomes. If this program was selected for the local evaluation of a PEI program⁶, please provide an analysis of results or progress in the local evaluation. The analysis shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a) A summary of available information about person/family-level and program/system-level outcomes from the PEI program
 - b) Data collected, including the number of program participants under each priority population served by age, gender, race, ethnicity, and primary language spoken
 - c) The method(s) used in this evaluation, including methods to ensure that evaluation results reflect the perspectives of diverse participants
 - d) Specific program strategies implemented to ensure appropriateness for diverse participants
 - e) Changes and modifications made during the program's implementation, if any, and the reason(s) for the changes

Page 45 of 56

⁶ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRI	PTION FOR FY	11/12	
Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	Yes	No 🗵	
2. Is there a change in the type of PEI activities to be provided?	Yes	No 🗵	
3. a) Complete the table below:			
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 125,000 123,700 -1%	ı		
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the previously approved amount, ${\bf or}$,	Yes 🗌	No 🗵	
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.	ı		
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is consider	ed Revised Prev	viously Approved. Complete Exhibit F3.	
A. Answer the following questions about this program.			
Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program, if	appliaghla		
1. Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program, if	аррисавіе.		
Not applicable.			
 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, please provide the a. Names of the programs being consolidated b. The rationale for consolidation c. Description of how the newly consolidated program will aim to achieve similar outdoed(s) 	-		Mental Health
Not applicable.			

B. Provide the proposed number of individual	duals and families to be served by prevention and early int	ervention in FY 11/12.
	Prevention	Early Intervention
Total Individuals:	696	132
Total Families:	530	104

County:

Mendocino

Program Nur	mber/Name: Early Onset, Early I	Intervention: TAY
Date:	May 24, 2011	Please check box if this program was selected for the local evaluation
	SECTION	I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10
	k box if your county did not begin ir	mplementation of this PEI program in FY 09/10. Please provide an explanation for delays in
implementation	and then skip to Section II: Program	n Description for FY 11/12.

Mendocino County's PEI Three Year Program and Expenditure Plan was approved on February 25, 2010. While additional planning took place in the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010, implementation of this PEI program began in Fiscal Year 2010/2011.

Q. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable. (NOTE: For prevention, use an estimated number.)

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT D3**

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Prevention and Early Intervention**

B. Please complete the following questions about this program during FY 09/10.

Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10, including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities. Please describe any key differences and major challenges with implementation of this program, if applicable.

N/A

- 4. Please provide any available data on program outcomes. If this program was selected for the local evaluation of a PEI program⁷, please provide an analysis of results or progress in the local evaluation. The analysis shall include, but not be limited to:
 - A summary of available information about person/family-level and program/system-level outcomes from the PEI program
 - Data collected, including the number of program participants under each priority population served by age, gender, race, ethnicity, and primary language spoken
 - h) The method(s) used in this evaluation, including methods to ensure that evaluation results reflect the perspectives of diverse participants
 - Specific program strategies implemented to ensure appropriateness for diverse participants
 - Changes and modifications made during the program's implementation, if any, and the reason(s) for the changes

N/A

⁷ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCR	RIPTION FOR FY 1	11/12	
1. Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	Yes 🗌	No 🗵	
2. Is there a change in the type of PEI activities to be provided?	Yes	No 🗵	
3. a) Complete the table below:			
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 457,980 528,406 +15%			
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the previously approved amount, ${\bf or}$,	Yes 🗌	No ⊠	
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes	No 🗆	
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.			
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is consider	ered Revised Previ	ously Approved. Complete Exhibit F3.	
A. Answer the following questions about this program.			
1. Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program,	if applicable.		
This Early Onset/Early Intervention PEI Program remains intact from that which was app County would like to identify an implementation strategy that has emerged through discus-			
This implementation strategy relates to the use of the new "best practice" screening instruction Year Plan, this screening instrument will be utilized by care providers, school counselors mental illness and suicide risk. One of the intended outcomes for this program is earlier centers, physicians, private therapists, organizational providers and parents (see MHSA)	, doctors and other referrals to the Mer	service providers to identify prodromal sym ntal Health Branch from schools, community	ptoms and health

- 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, please provide the following information:
 - d. Names of the programs being consolidated
 - e. The rationale for consolidation
 - f. Description of how the newly consolidated program will aim to achieve similar outcomes for the Key Priority Population(s) and Community Mental Health Need(s)

B. Provide the proposed number of individuals and families to be served by prevention and early intervention in FY 11/12.

	Prevention	Early Intervention
Total Individuals:	-	30 - 60
Total Families:	-	15 - 30

County:

Mendocino

-		
Program Number	er/Name: Prevention: Old	er Adults
Date:	May 24, 2011	Please check box if this program was selected for the local evaluation
	SECTIO	ON I: PROGRAM SPECIFIC PROGRESS REPORT FOR FY 09/10
	ox if your county did not beging the skip to Section II: Prog	n implementation of this PEI program in FY 09/10. Please provide an explanation for delays in ram Description for FY 11/12.

Mendocino County's PEI Three Year Program and Expenditure Plan was approved on February 25, 2010. While additional planning took place in the last quarter of Fiscal Year 2009/2010, implementation of this PEI program began in Fiscal Year 2010/2011.

R. List the number of individuals served by this program during FY 09/10, as applicable. (NOTE: For prevention, use an estimated number.)

Age Group	# of Individuals	Race and Ethnicity	# of Individuals	Primary Language	# of Individuals	Culture	# of Individuals
Child and Youth (0-17)		White		English		LGBTQ	
Transition Age Youth (16-25)		African American		Spanish		Veteran	
Adult (18-59)		Asian		Vietnamese		Other	
Older Adult (60+)		Pacific Islander		Cantonese			
		Native American		Mandarin			
		Hispanic		Tagalog			
		Multi		Cambodian			
		Unknown		Hmong			
		Other		Russian			
				Farsi			
				Arabic			
				Other			

2011/12 ANNUAL UPDATE **EXHIBIT D3**

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROGRAM **Prevention and Early Intervention**

B. Please complete the following questions about this program during FY 09/10.

5.	Briefly report on the performance of the program during FY 09/10, including progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with
	emphasis on reducing ethnic and cultural disparities. Please describe any key differences and major challenges with implementation of this program, if
	applicable.

N/A

- 6. Please provide any available data on program outcomes. If this program was selected for the local evaluation of a PEI program⁸, please provide an analysis of results or progress in the local evaluation. The analysis shall include, but not be limited to:
 - k) A summary of available information about person/family-level and program/system-level outcomes from the PEI program
 - Data collected, including the number of program participants under each priority population served by age, gender, race, ethnicity, and primary language spoken
 - m) The method(s) used in this evaluation, including methods to ensure that evaluation results reflect the perspectives of diverse participants
 - n) Specific program strategies implemented to ensure appropriateness for diverse participants
 - o) Changes and modifications made during the program's implementation, if any, and the reason(s) for the changes

N/A

⁸ Note that very small counties (population less than 100,000) are exempt from this requirement Page 53 of 56

SECTION II: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION FOR FY 11/12						
1. Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?	Yes	No 🗵				
2. Is there a change in the type of PEI activities to be provided?	Yes 🗌	No ⊠				
3. a) Complete the table below:						
FY 10/11 funding FY 11/12 funding Percent Change 97,760 75,000 -23%						
b) Is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the ± 25% of the previously approved amount, or ,	Yes 🗌	No ⊠				
For Consolidated Programs, is the FY 11/12 funding requested outside the \pm 25% of the sum of the previously approved amounts?	Yes	No 🗆				
c) If you are requesting an exception to the ±25% criteria, please provide an explanation below.						
,						
NOTE: If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above questions (1-3), the program is consider	ered Revised Prev	viously Approved. Complete Exhibit F3.				
A. Answer the following questions about this program.						
1. Please include a description of any additional proposed changes to this PEI program, i	if applicable.					
This Prevention: Older Adult PEI Program remains intact from that which was approved in both the 3 Year Plan and the 2010/2011 Annual Update. The County would like to identify an implementation strategy that has emerged through discussions with stakeholders and through the community planning process. The original proposal call for the implementation of the PEI program primarily through County Social Work Assistant with a subcontract for clinical supervision of senior peer counselors and of the Social Work Assistant. The County's revised implementation strategy includes a proposal to contract out all services including clinical supervision and program coordination, administration, and senior center outreach services. 2. If this is a consolidation of two or more previously approved programs, please provide the following information:						
g. Names of the programs being consolidated h. The rationale for consolidation						

 i. Description of how the newly consolidated program will aim to achieve similar outcomes for the Key Priority Population(s) and Community Mental Health Need(s) 							
N/A							
B. Provide the proposed number of individuals and families to be served by prevention and early intervention in FY 11/12.							
	Prevention	Early Intervention					
Total Individuals:	120	-					
Total Families:	-	•					

PEI FUNDING REQUEST

EXHIBIT E3

County: Mendocino County

Date: 5/24/2011

	PEI Programs	FY 11/12 Estimated MHSA Funds by Type of Intervention		Estimated MHSA Funds by Age Group					
No	. Name	Requested MHSA Funding	Prevention	Early Intervention	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult	
	Previously Approved Programs								
1. 1	Education, Destigmatization & Peer Support	\$123,750	\$123,750		\$28,462	\$14,850	\$54,450	\$25,988	
2. 2	Early Onset/Early InterventionTAY	\$528,406		\$528,406		\$528,406			
3. 3	PreventionOlder Adults	\$75,000	\$75,000					\$75,000	
4. 4	Prevention CollaborationChildren & Youth	\$149,292	\$149,292		\$149,292				
5.		\$0							
6.		\$0							
7.		\$0							
8.		\$0							
9.		\$0							
10.		\$0							
11.		\$0							
12.		\$0							
13.		\$0							
14.		\$0							
15.		\$0							
	total: Programs*	\$876,448	\$348,042	\$528,406	\$177,754	\$543,256	\$54,450	\$100,988	<u>Percentage</u>
	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs	\$65,412							8%
	up to 10% Operating Reserve	\$29,339							3.1%
	total: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$971,199							
Ne	w/Revised Previously Approved Programs								
1.		\$0							
2.		\$0							
3.		\$0							
4.		\$0							
5.		\$0							
	otal: Programs*	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Percentage
7. Plus	up to 15% Indirect Administrative Costs								#VALUE!
	up to 10% Operating Reserve								#VALUE!
	otal: Programs/Indirect Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0							
10. Tota	al MHSA Funds Requested for PEI	\$971,199							

^{*}Majority of funds must be directed towards individuals under age 25. Percent of funds directed towards those under 25 year 82% Note: Previously Approved Programs that propose changes to Key Community Health Needs, Priority Populations, Activities, and/or funding as described in the Information Notice are considered New.