6-18-2018 email from peterchevalier@att.net

From: Peter C

Peter Chevalier <peterchevalier@att.net>

To: Juliana Cherry <cherryj@co.mendocino.ca.us>

Date:

6/18/2018 3:15 PM

Subject:

Fw: Planning Commission Meeting, June 21, 1981

Good Afternoon, Juliana...

We totally concur with the following letter written to you by Sam and Ginger Kraynek. We are their neighbors as well, right down the street from the MCCSD. We feel that the letter reflects our feelings entirely.

Thank you for your consideration of these issues.

Take care...

Peter & Lynn Chevalier 45375 Ukiah Street Mendocino, CA 95460 707.972.2834

From: Ginger Kraynek < g.kraynek@sbcglobal.net>

Date: June 13, 2018 at 2:48:31 PM PDT

To: Juliana Cherry <cherryj@mendocinocounty.org>, Juliana Cherry <cherryj@mendocinocounty.org>

Subject: Planning Commission Meeting, June 21, 1981 Reply-To: Ginger Kraynek <g.kraynek@sbcglobal.net>

Good afternoon, Juliana,

We are neighbors of Dan Potash and recently talked to you regarding the application for modernization of the MCCSD facilities in the village of Mendocino which is to be presented to the Planning Commission On June 21, 2018 [Case Number UM 2018-0001].

While we support much of the modernization of the plant there are two projects we object to.

- 1. We feel the construction of a1000 square foot, 18.5 foot high building adjacent to a Mendocino Headlands trail is not necessary. A building is needed to house the disinfection system, equipment, and laboratory; but, it does not need to be that size. According to the plans the majority of space is going to be used as a garage to house a truck. The plant's truck are already housed in other buildings on the ground. The proposed building is in the ocean view and will diminish the view for much of the west end of the village. If additional garage space is needed MCCSD acquired property several years ago through eminent domain to be used specifically to build additional garage, storage, and office space.
- 2. The rehabilitation of the sludge drying beds is a waste of money. The estimated cost is over \$400,000. When the plant was first built in the 70's, the drying beds were used. This method for drying the sludge was abandoned because it simply did not work. The cool, damp climate of Mendocino doesn't lend to drying sludge Moreover, the smell blanketed the village Anyone who lived here then will testify to that. This method of drying sludge is antiquated and is seldom used today especially in populated areas. In Arcata, where it is used, the plant is located in a rural area and development is not allowed within 0.5 miles. In Mendocino some people live as close as 20 feet to the beds. An emergency, redundant system is needed, but there are other options including transporting the wet sludge to East Bay MUD.

Thank you for your consideration in these matters.

Sam Kraynek Ginger Kraynek 10470 Kelly Street Mendocino, CA 95630 707-937-0673