

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

Executive Office

Central Services Division

CARMEL J. ANGELO CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURCHASING AGENT

501 Low Gap Road Room 1010 Ukiah, CA 95482-3734 Email: ceo@co.mendocino.ca.us Website: www.co.mendocino.ca.us Office: Fax: (707) 463-4441 (707) 463-5649

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO • REQUEST FOR QUOTES ADDENDUM No. 2

Architectural/Engineering Services for the Mendocino County Jail Replacement Special Needs Housing Unit and Visitor Center

RFQ No. **57-17**

Addendum Issue Date: September 22, 2017 RFQ Issue Date: September 12, 2017

RFQ Submission Deadline: October 27, 2017 - 2:00 pm

GENERAL RFQ CLARIFICATION PROVIDED TO ALL VENDORS AND POTENTIAL PROPOSERS:

Request for Qualification #57-17

Architectural/Engineering Services for the Mendocino County Jail Replacement Special Needs
Housing Unit and Visitors Center

Mandatory Bidders Conference – September 29, 2017 – 2:00 p.m.

- A. Introduction to the Proposed Project by Captain Timothy Pearce, Jail Commander:
 - 1. Overview of problems with the current facility.
 - 2. Vision of the new build out:
 - a. Relieve overcrowding of women's maximum area
 - b. Centralized area for better medical and mental health access
 - c. Eliminate overall overcrowding that currently exists
 - d. Include a medical unit for medically fragile and mental health issues
 - e. Building is to be two stories with the first floor housing the mentally ill and medically fragile. The second floor will be for high security inmates and those in susceptible populations (elderly, offenders of certain laws)
 - f. A separate detox area is needed
 - g. A centralized unit for attorney contact
 - 3. Adjourned for a tour of the jail grounds and site view of the proposed build out.

B. Questions and Answers

- 1. Q: Is there any asbestos in the building to be demolished?
 - A: Asbestos no, lead yes.
- 2. Q: Person hour budget, some confusion, hourly rate or a budget?
 - A: Hourly rate is what we need. Clarification will be in the upcoming Addendum to be issued.
- 3. Q: "Design build" or "design, bid, build"?
 - A: "Design, bid, build" is what we want. Further clarification on this topic is in the Addendum issued last week (September 22, 2017), #RFQ 57-17 Addendum 1.
- 4. Q: Local preference, how local, what is your definition?
 - A: We refer to the Board policy on local preference; you can read the policy and see if you qualify. Mendocino County Policy I, Section 5.0.
- 5. Q: Are you under the influence of the Coast Commission for this project?
 - A: No, not in this location.
- 6. Q: How would you like the organization of the submittal? Would you prefer the outline referenced on page 5 or use the scoring evaluation criteria?
 - A: Preference is the page 5 outline reference.
- 7. Q: Do you have good "as-builts" on the building and the underground?
 - A: Yes, we do have good "as-builts" on the building but limited on the underground.
- 8. Q: Include the geo-technical plans with submissions?
 - A: Yes, include in your submission. Identify any sub-contractors you intend to utilize.
- 9. Q: Some of the terms used in the RFQ are broad. Is this the classic public bid design, you go with lowest bidder?
 - A: The requirements we go by are consistent with the State process which is pretty specific.
- 10. Q: Are there value engineering opportunities?
 - A: There would be some opportunities for value engineering, but we don't want to exceed the available State funding unless we need to. There is 25 million dollars in State funding; the County currently has one million dollars set aside for a local match, we may have to add to that slightly as the demolition of the existing building and closing it back in will need to come from local funds. We do not anticipate going over two million dollars on the local match. The

application explains what the local funds are anticipated to be used for. Depending on how the bids come in, we may have to use some value engineering.

- 11. A: Will there be one contract to cover all or two with the demo project being separate?
 - Q: Ideally one contract but the projects tracked separately to satisfy any State audits that may occur.
- 12. Q: Under firm description, you ask for an overview of the number and types of projects; do you have a criteria of how far we go back or a whole firm history?
 - A: Firm history of these types of projects; specifically the ones under funding situations like 844. We want to see those types of projects from start to finish that is the history we are looking for.
- 13. Q: Do you want our design team to follow the plans you have showed us in the display today?
 - A: No, that is up to you. However, large deviations will need to be approved by the State. We are open to ideas.
- 14. Q: Will the construction site be open so contractors and the design team can come and go without security check in each time?
 - A: Most likely we will have the entrance be through the County maintenance yard so it will be more secure verses something being opened to the street.
- 15. Q: Will the County have security or should we come with our own security?A: The County will not provide security; you need to have your own.
- 16. Q: Can you walk us through the history of how this project has evolved through all the senate bills? What you have today is different from where you started.
 - A: We have always had the same idea in mind; it has always needed to include a mental health component. It always had to include female inmate space. Our Sheriff worked with our State Representatives to make this come to fruition.
- 17. Q: Did you have consultants involved in the preparation of the 1022 and 863. A: We did have a consultant that wrote the grant for us, Nacht & Lewis.
- C. Closing Comments:

Further questions can be emailed in before October 6, 2017 and an Addendum will be issued with questions and answers from today's meeting at the end of the week.

- D. Adjournment occurred at 2:42 p.m.
- E. Handouts available at the meeting were:

- 1. Bidders Conference Agenda
- 2. RFQ 57-17, Addendum 1
- 3. Project Information

ALL OTHER SPECIFICATIONS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

Acknowledgment of receipt of this addendum is required to be included in your proposal. You may indicate such inclusion in narrative form within your proposal or by attaching a copy this addendum to your proposal.

Any questions or concerns regarding this matter should be directed to Alan Flora, Assistant Chief Executive Officer, at the phone numbers or email addresses below:

Alan Flora, Assistant Chief Executive Officer floraa@mendocinocounty.org